

1. INTERACTION PICTURE

Schrödinger picture: operators are time-independent
states are time-dependent

Interaction picture: operators are time-dependent
states ————

$$O_I(t) = U_0^\dagger(t) O_S U_0(t) \quad (1), \quad |A, t\rangle_I = U_0^\dagger(t) |A, t\rangle_S \quad (2)$$

$$U_0(t) = e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} \quad (3)$$

① Trivial to show since H_0 commutes with itself.

② From (1), we have

$$H_{int}^I(t) = U_0^\dagger(t) H_{int}^S U_0(t) = e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} H_{int}^S e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} \quad (4)$$

③ com for operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} O_I(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} (U_0^\dagger(t) O_S U_0(t)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} U_0^\dagger(t) O_S U_0(t) + U_0^\dagger(t) O_S \frac{d}{dt} U_0(t) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

since (follows from the definition eq. 3)

$$\frac{d}{dt} U_0^\dagger(t) = \frac{d}{dt} e^{+iH_0(t-t_0)} = iH_0 U_0^\dagger(t) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{d}{dt} U_0(t) = \frac{d}{dt} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} = -iH_0 U_0(t) \quad (7)$$

we find that (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} O_I(t) &= iH_0 U_0^\dagger(t) O_S U_0(t) - i U_0^\dagger(t) O_S U_0(t) H_0 \\ &= -i(O_I(t) H_0 - H_0 O_I(t)) \\ &= -i [O_I(t), H_0] \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow i \frac{d}{dt} O_I(t) = [O_I(t), H_0] \quad (8)$

$$\bullet \frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_I = \frac{d}{dt} (U_0^\dagger(t) |A, t\rangle_S)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} U_0^\dagger(t) |A, t\rangle_S + U_0^\dagger(t) \frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_S$$

~~Equation (9)~~

$$\stackrel{(9)}{=} iH_0 U_0^\dagger(t) |A, t\rangle_S + U_0^\dagger(t) \frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_S$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_I = iH_0 |A, t\rangle_I + U_0^\dagger(t) \frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_S \quad (9)$$

we know need to figure out the 2nd term in (9).
Remember Schrödinger eq:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_S = H^S |A, t\rangle_S = H_0^S |A, t\rangle_S + H_{int}^S |A, t\rangle_S$$

Now use that $H_0^S = H_0$, to get

$$i \frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_S = H_0 |A, t\rangle_S + H_{int}^S |A, t\rangle_S,$$

therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_S = -iH_0 |A, t\rangle_S - i H_{int}^S |A, t\rangle_S \quad (10)$$

Plug (10) into (9), to obtain:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_I = iH_0 |A, t\rangle_I + iU_0^\dagger(t) H_0 |A, t\rangle_S - iU_0^\dagger(t) H_{int}^S |A, t\rangle_S$$

$$= iH_0 |A, t\rangle_I - iH_0 U_0^\dagger(t) |A, t\rangle_S - iU_0^\dagger(t) H_{int}^S |A, t\rangle_S$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_I \stackrel{(2)}{=} \cancel{iH_0 |A, t\rangle_I} - \cancel{iH_0 U_0^\dagger(t) |A, t\rangle_S} - iU_0^\dagger(t) H_{int}^S |A, t\rangle_S \quad (11)$$

From (2) we find

$$|A, t\rangle_S = U_0(t) |A, t\rangle_I \quad (12)$$

meaning that (11) gives us

$$\frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_I = -iU_0^\dagger(t) H_{int}^S U_0(t) |A, t\rangle_I = -iH_{int}^I |A, t\rangle_I$$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{i \frac{d}{dt} |A, t\rangle_I = H_{int}^I |A, t\rangle_I} \quad (13)$$

④ Heisenberg picture: operators are time-dependent (3/11)
states are time-independent

Start from we know that

$$O_H(t) = e^{iH(t-t_0)} O_I e^{-iH(t-t_0)}, \quad (14)$$

$$|A, t\rangle_H = e^{iH(t-t_0)} |A, t\rangle_I \quad (15)$$

Start from eq. (1)

$$\begin{aligned} O_I(t) &= U_0^\dagger(t) O_I U_0(t) = U_0^\dagger(t) e^{-iH(t-t_0)} \underbrace{e^{iH(t-t_0)} O_I e^{-iH(t-t_0)}}_{O_H(t)} e^{iH(t-t_0)} U_0(t) \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{=} U_0^\dagger(t) e^{-iH(t-t_0)} O_H(t) e^{iH(t-t_0)} U_0(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{O_I(t) = U(t, t_0) O_H(t) U^\dagger(t, t_0)} \quad (16)$$

with

$$U(t, t_0) = U_0^\dagger(t) e^{-iH(t-t_0)} = e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t_0)} \quad (17)$$

Now start from (2)

$$\begin{aligned} |A, t\rangle_I &= U_0^\dagger(t) |A, t\rangle_H = U_0^\dagger(t) e^{-iH(t-t_0)} e^{iH(t-t_0)} |A, t\rangle_H \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} U_0^\dagger(t) e^{-iH(t-t_0)} |A, t\rangle_H \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{|A, t\rangle_I \stackrel{(17)}{=} U(t, t_0) |A, t\rangle_H} \quad (18)$$

⑤ Differentiate $U(t, t_0)$ wrt time:

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) &= i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t_0)}) \\ &= i (iH_0 e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t_0)} - i e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} H e^{-iH(t-t_0)}) \\ &= - (H_0 e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t_0)} - e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} (H_0 + H_{int}) e^{-iH(t-t_0)}) \\ &= + e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} H_{int} e^{-iH(t-t_0)} \\ &= e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} H_{int} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t_0)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) = H_{int}^I(t) e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t_0)} = H_{int}^I U(t, t_0)} \quad (19)$$

Now check what happens for $t = t_0$

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) \Big|_{t=t_0} = H_{int}^I(t_0) U(t_0, t_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow i(iH_0 e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t_0)} - i e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} H e^{-iH(t-t_0)}) \Big|_{t=t_0} \\ = H_{int}^I(t_0) U(t_0, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow i(iH_0 - iH) = H_{int}^I(t_0) U(t_0, t_0)$$

$$\rightarrow i(iH_0 - iH_0 - iH_{int}) = H_{int}^I(t_0) U(t_0, t_0)$$

$$\rightarrow H_{int} = H_{int}^I(t_0) U(t_0, t_0)$$

Since

$$H_{int}^I(t) = e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} H_{int} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} = e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} H_{int} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)}$$

$$\rightarrow H_{int}^I(t_0) = H_{int}$$

we get

$$H_{int} = H_{int} U(t_0, t_0)$$

meaning that

$$U(t_0, t_0) = 1 \quad (20)$$

⑥ we have

$$U(t, t_0) = T e^{-i \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{int}^I(t')} \quad (21)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [T e^{-i \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{int}^I(t')}] &= T H_{int}^I(t) e^{-i \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{int}^I(t')} \\ &= H_{int}^I T e^{-i \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{int}^I(t')} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) = H_{int}^I U(t, t_0)} \quad (22)$$

Time ordering is essential, because otherwise you would need to impose

(5/11)

$$[H_{int}^I(t), H_{int}^I(t')]_{t \neq t'} = 0, \quad (23)$$

which may not be the case. (show that!)

⊗ generalization to arbitrary time:

$$U(t, t') = e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t')} e^{-iH_0(t'-t_0)}, \quad (24)$$

such that

$$U(t', t') = 1. \quad (25)$$

For $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq t_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(t_1, t_2) U(t_2, t_3) &= e^{iH_0(t_1-t_0)} e^{-iH(t_1-t_2)} e^{-iH_0(t_2-t_0)} \\ &\quad \cdot e^{iH_0(t_2-t_0)} e^{-iH(t_2-t_3)} e^{-iH_0(t_3-t_0)} \\ &= e^{iH_0(t_1-t_0)} e^{-iH(t_1-t_2)} e^{-iH(t_2-t_3)} e^{-iH_0(t_3-t_0)} \\ &= e^{iH_0(t_1-t_0)} e^{-iH(t_1-t_3)} e^{-iH_0(t_3-t_0)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\rightarrow U(t_1, t_2) U(t_2, t_3) = U(t_1, t_3)} \quad (26)$$

Similarly,

$$\boxed{U(t_1, t_3) U^\dagger(t_2, t_3) = U(t_1, t_2)} \quad (27)$$

⊗ Unitarity:

$$\begin{aligned} U(t, t') U^\dagger(t, t') &= e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t')} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} \\ &\quad \cdot e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{iH(t-t')} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} \\ &= e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH(t-t')} e^{iH(t-t')} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} \\ &= e^{iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\rightarrow U(t, t') U^\dagger(t, t') = 1} \quad (28)$$

3. Box normalization

(6/11)

The replacements can be found from the well-known particle in a box. The momenta are

$$(p_x, p_y, p_z) = \frac{2\pi}{L} (n_x, n_y, n_z),$$

meaning that

$$d^3\vec{p} = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{L^3} d^3\vec{n} = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{V} dN \rightarrow dN = \frac{V d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

① We know that

$$\begin{aligned} [a_p, a_{p'}^\dagger] &= (2\pi)^3 2\omega_p \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \quad (1) \\ &= (2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2\omega_p} \sqrt{2\omega_{p'}} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \rightarrow V \delta_{p,p'} \quad (2)$$

we find from (1)

$$[a_p, a_{p'}^\dagger] = \sqrt{2V\omega_p} \sqrt{2V\omega_{p'}} \delta_{p,p'} \quad (3)$$

Thus,

$$\left[\frac{a_p}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}}, \frac{a_{p'}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{p'}}} \right] = \delta_{p,p'} \quad (4)$$

which upon introducing

$$(\tilde{a}_p, \tilde{a}_p^\dagger) = \left(\frac{a_p}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}}, \frac{a_p^\dagger}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}} \right) \quad (5)$$

the above becomes

$$[\tilde{a}_p, \tilde{a}_p^\dagger] = \delta_{p,p'} \quad (6)$$

Similarly, we find for the b_p, b_p^\dagger operators

$$\text{that } [\tilde{b}_p, \tilde{b}_p^\dagger] = \delta_{p,p'}, \text{ with } (\tilde{b}_p, \tilde{b}_p^\dagger) = \left(\frac{b_p}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}}, \frac{b_p^\dagger}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}} \right) \quad (7)$$

(2) From

7/11

$$\chi = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_p} (a_p e^{-ipx} + b_p^\dagger e^{ipx}) \quad (8)$$

we find

$$\chi = \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}} (\tilde{a}_p e^{-ipx} + \tilde{b}_p^\dagger e^{ipx}) \quad (9)$$

2. DISCRETE SYMMETRIES

(a) Parity

(1) we have that

$$\varphi(t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}) = \underline{P} \varphi(t, \vec{x}) \underline{P}^{-1} = \eta_P \varphi(t, -\vec{x}) \quad (1)$$

Let's expand the Lagrangian as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}(t, \vec{x})}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}(t, \vec{x})}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 - m^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2(t, \vec{x}) \right\}$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(t, -\vec{x})}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(t, -\vec{x})}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 - m^2 \varphi^2(t, -\vec{x}) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(t, -\vec{x})}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(t, -\vec{x})}{\partial (-x_i)} \right)^2 - m^2 \varphi^2(t, -\vec{x}) \right\}, \quad (2)$$

since $\eta_P^2 = 1$

Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \int d^4x \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3\vec{x} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(t, -\vec{x})}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(t, -\vec{x})}{\partial (-x_i)} \right)^2 - m^2 \varphi^2(t, -\vec{x}) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3\vec{y} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(t, \vec{y})}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(t, \vec{y})}{\partial y_i} \right)^2 - m^2 \varphi^2(t, \vec{y}) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 - m^2 \varphi^2 = \mathcal{I}, \quad (3)$$

as it should.

② Start from the following

8/11

$$|\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n\rangle = a_{p_1}^+ \dots a_{p_n}^+ |0\rangle \quad (4)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}|\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n\rangle &= \mathcal{P} a_{p_1}^+ \dots a_{p_n}^+ |0\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{P} a_{p_1}^+ \mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{P} a_{p_2}^+ \mathcal{P}^{-1} \dots \mathcal{P} a_{p_n}^+ \mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{P} |0\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{P} a_{p_1}^+ \mathcal{P}^{-1} \dots \mathcal{P} a_{p_n}^+ \mathcal{P}^{-1} |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since $\mathcal{P}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$.

Thus, we need to find how the ladder operators behave under parity. we consider

$$\mathcal{P}\varphi(t, \vec{x})\mathcal{P}^{-1} = \eta_{\mathcal{P}} \varphi(t, -\vec{x}) \quad (6)$$

meaning that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}} (\mathcal{P} a_p \mathcal{P}^{-1} e^{-i\omega_p t + i\vec{p}\vec{x}} + \mathcal{P} a_p^+ \mathcal{P}^{-1} e^{-i\omega_p t - i\vec{p}\vec{x}}) \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{=} \eta_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}} (a_p e^{-i\omega_p t - i\vec{p}\vec{x}} + a_p^+ e^{-i\omega_p t + i\vec{p}\vec{x}}). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{P} a_p \mathcal{P}^{-1} = \eta_{\mathcal{P}} a_{-p} \quad , \quad \mathcal{P} a_p^+ \mathcal{P}^{-1} = \eta_{\mathcal{P}} a_{-p}^+ \quad (8)$$

Plugging (8) into (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}|\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n\rangle &= \eta_{\mathcal{P}} a_{-p_1}^+ \eta_{\mathcal{P}} a_{-p_2}^+ \dots \eta_{\mathcal{P}} a_{-p_n}^+ |0\rangle \\ &= (\eta_{\mathcal{P}})^n a_{-p_1}^+ a_{-p_2}^+ \dots a_{-p_n}^+ |0\rangle \\ &= (\eta_{\mathcal{P}})^n |-\vec{p}_1, \dots, -\vec{p}_n\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

③ We know that for 2 operators A, B, the following holds

$$e^{i\alpha A} B e^{-i\alpha A} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(i\alpha)^n}{n!} B_n \quad (10)$$

In our case,

$$B_0 = B = a_p \quad , \quad B_n = [A, B_{n-1}], \quad n=1, 2, \dots \quad (11)$$

$$\alpha = -\frac{\eta}{2}, \quad A = \sum_{\vec{k}} a_{\vec{k}}^+ a_{\vec{k}}$$

Then, we get

(9/11)

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{*} B_1 &= [A, B_0] = \sum_k [a_k^\dagger a_k, a_p] \\ &= \sum_k (a_k^\dagger [a_k, a_p] + [a_k^\dagger, a_p] a_k) \\ &= \sum_k (-[a_p, a_k^\dagger] a_k) = - \sum_k \delta_{p,k} a_k = -a_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{*} B_2 &= [A, B_1] = - \sum_k [a_k^\dagger a_k, a_p] = - \sum_k (a_k^\dagger [a_k, a_p] + [a_k^\dagger, a_p] a_k) \\ &= \sum_k [a_p, a_k^\dagger] a_k = a_p \end{aligned}$$

The pattern is already clear:

$$B_n = (-1)^n a_p \quad (12)$$

Therefore

$$I_1 a_p I_1^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(-\frac{i\pi}{2}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^n a_p = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{i\pi}{2}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} a_p$$

$$\rightarrow I_1 a_p I_1^{-1} = e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}} a_p = i a_p \quad (13)$$

For I_2 , we work in similar manner. Now, we take

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} n_p, B_0 = a_p, B_n = [A, B_{n-1}], n=1, 2, \dots, A = \sum_k a_k^\dagger a_{-k} \quad (14)$$

Then we find

$$\textcircled{*} B_1 = [A, B_0] = -a_{-p}$$

$$\textcircled{*} B_2 = [A, B_1] = +a_p$$

⋮

The pattern is also clear here:

$$B_n = (-1)^n a_{(-1)^n p} \quad (15)$$

Then,

$$I_2 a_p I_2^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{i\pi n_p}{2}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^n a_{(-1)^n p} \quad (16)$$

The above form invites us to split the sum into even and odd parts. The even sum to $\text{ch}\left(\frac{i\pi}{2} n_p\right) = 0$ for $n_p = \pm 1$.

We are left only with the $\text{sh}(\frac{i\eta}{2} \eta_p)$ part,
that gives

(10/11)

$$L_2 a_p L_2^{-1} = -i \eta_p a_{-p} \quad (17)$$

$$\textcircled{4} L^\dagger L = (L_1 L_2)^\dagger L_1 L_2 = L_2^\dagger L_1^\dagger L_1 L_2 = L_2^\dagger L_2 = 1 \quad (18)$$

→ unitary operator

⑤ In the continuum normalization

$$L_1 = e^{-\frac{i\eta}{2} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_p} a_p^\dagger a_p}, \quad L_2 = e^{\frac{i\eta}{2} \eta_p \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_p} a_p^\dagger a_{-p}} \quad (19)$$

⑥ charge conjugation

we are given that

$$X \rightarrow \tilde{X} = G X G^{-1} = \eta_c X^\dagger \quad (20)$$

Then

$$X^\dagger \rightarrow \tilde{X}^\dagger = \eta_c^* X \quad (21)$$

The normal-ordered Lagrangian becomes

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = : \partial_\mu \tilde{X}^\dagger \partial^\mu \tilde{X} - m^2 \tilde{X}^\dagger \tilde{X} :$$

$$= : \partial_\mu X \partial^\mu X^\dagger - m^2 X X^\dagger :$$

$$= : \partial_\mu X^\dagger \partial^\mu X - m^2 X^\dagger X : = \mathcal{L} \quad (22)$$

The $U(1)$ Noether current is

$$\tilde{J}_\mu = i (\partial_\mu X^\dagger X - \partial_\mu X X^\dagger) \quad (23)$$

meaning that

$$\tilde{\tilde{J}}_\mu = i (\partial_\mu X X^\dagger - \partial_\mu X^\dagger X) = -\tilde{J}_\mu \quad (24)$$

i.e. flips sign under charge conjugation.

(2) We know that

$$dXd^{-1} = \eta_c X^\dagger$$

(11/11)

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \hat{Q} \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}} (d a_p d^{-1} e^{-ipx} + d b_p^\dagger d^{-1} e^{ipx}) \\ = \eta_c \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_p}} (b_p e^{-ipx} + a_p^\dagger e^{ipx}), \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

which translates into

$$d a_p d^{-1} = \eta_c b_p, \quad d b_p d^{-1} = \eta_c^* a_p, \quad (26)$$

and

$$d a_p^\dagger d^{-1} = \eta_c^* b_p^\dagger, \quad d b_p^\dagger d^{-1} = \eta_c a_p^\dagger. \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{3} d |a, \vec{p}\rangle = d a_p^\dagger |0\rangle = d a_p^\dagger d^{-1} d |0\rangle = d a_p^\dagger d^{-1} |0\rangle \quad (28) \\ \stackrel{(27)}{=} \eta_c^* b_p^\dagger |0\rangle = \eta_c^* |b, \vec{p}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{4} d |b, \vec{p}\rangle = d b_p^\dagger d^{-1} |0\rangle = \eta_c a_p^\dagger |0\rangle = \eta_c |a, \vec{p}\rangle. \quad (29)$$

\rightarrow d operation exchanges particles ($a^\dagger|0\rangle$) for the corresponding antiparticles ($b^\dagger|0\rangle$).