

MAXIMILIANS UNIVERSITÄT



https://www2.physik.uni-muenchen.de/lehre/vorlesungen/sose_24/t_m1_ advanced-statistical-physics/index.html

Sheet 5:

Hand-out: Friday, May. 17, 2024; Hand-in: Tuesday, May. 28, 2024, 11:59 pm

Problem 1 A quantum phase transition described by mean-field theory – (sol: Central Exercise)

In this problem, we consider a quantum mechanical lattice gas of interacting bosons, as described by the so-called *Bose-Hubbard* Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{BH} . On each lattice site j in a d-dimensional hypercubic lattice it is characterized by the bosonic occupation number \hat{n}_{j} . It is most convenient to think of each lattice site as a separate harmonic oscillator, with ladder operators \hat{a}_j and \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} annihilating and creating an extra particle/excitation respectively (with the commutation relations $[\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_i^{\dagger}] = \delta_{i,j}$), such that $\hat{n}_{j} = \hat{a}_{j}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{j}$ denotes the number of bosons on site j.

The Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{BH} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_U + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_t + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu$ consist of three terms: the local interactions between bosons on the same site,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j} \hat{n}_j (\hat{n}_j - 1), \qquad (1)$$

parameterized by an on-site interaction strength U, the hopping term which allows bosons to move (i.e. "hop") between nearest-neighbor lattice sites $\langle i, j \rangle$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_t = -t \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} \left(\hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j + \text{H.c.} \right), \qquad (2)$$

parameterized by the hopping amplitude t; And to control the total particle number N we add the chemical potential term

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu} = -\mu \sum_{j} \hat{n}_{j} \equiv -\mu \hat{N}, \qquad (3)$$

parametrized by μ , which appears as usual when working in the grand-canonical ensemble.

In this exercise we will see that the Bose-Hubbard model has two types of (quantum) phase transitions at zero temperature T = 0 when μ/t and U/t are tuned. To analyze them, we consider the following type of Gutzwiller variational states:

$$|\Psi_{\rm G}[f_n]\rangle = \prod_{\boldsymbol{j}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^m \frac{f_n}{\sqrt{n!}} (\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{j}}^{\dagger})^n \right) |0\rangle, \qquad (4)$$

with variational parameters $f_0, ..., f_m$ satisfying (for normalization):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m} |f_n|^2 = 1.$$
 (5)

- (1.a) (4 Points) Explain (in a few words), how Eq. (4) constitutes a mean-field description of the system. Then, derive expressions for the kinetic and interaction energies $E_t = \langle \Psi_{\rm G} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_t | \Psi_{\rm G} \rangle$ and $E_U = \langle \Psi_{\rm G} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_U | \Psi_{\rm G} \rangle$, as well as for $E_{\mu} = \langle \Psi_{\rm G} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu} | \Psi_{\rm G} \rangle$. *Hints:* sums do not have to be evaluated at this point; make use of the properties of the harmonic oscillator ladder operators!
- (1.b) (3 Points) The so-called *Mott insulating* (MI) states with $n_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4, ...$ correspond to the choice $f_n|_{\mathrm{MI}} = e^{i\varphi}\delta_{n,n_0}$, with an arbitrary phase $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$. They correspond to having exactly n_0 bosons occupying every lattice site. Show that the energy $E(\varphi) = \langle \Psi_{\mathrm{G}}[f_n|_{\mathrm{MI}}] | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{BH}} | \Psi_{\mathrm{G}}[f_n|_{\mathrm{MI}}] \rangle$ is independent of the phase choice φ – this is a manifestation of a continuous U(1) symmetry of the model.
- (1.c) (3 Points) Consider the case t = 0 without the kinetic term. Derive the critical values $\mu_c^{(n_0)}$ of the chemical potential where transitions between different Mott states n_0 and $n_0 + 1$ take place. Which type of phase transition is this (first order or continuous)?
- (1.d) (4 Points) Now we analyze an additional phase transition obtained when tuning t while U and μ are fixed. From now on, consider the choice $\mu = U(n_0 1/2)$. For given integer n_0 , make the ansatz

$$f_{n_0}|_{\alpha} = \sqrt{1 - 2|\alpha|^2}, \qquad f_{n_0 - 1}|_{\alpha} = \alpha, \qquad f_{n_0 + 1} = \alpha^*, \qquad f_{m \neq n_0 - 1, n_0, n_0 + 1}|_{\alpha} = 0, \quad (6)$$

for a small $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \ll 1$. Calculate the variational energy $E(\alpha)$ to second order in α and show that it is given by (in d dimensions)

$$E(\alpha) = \langle \Psi_{\rm G}[f_{n_0}|_{\alpha}] | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm BH} | \Psi_{\rm G}[f_{n_0}|_{\alpha}] \rangle$$

= $V \left[-\frac{U}{2} n_0^2 + |\alpha|^2 \left(U - 2td(2n_0 + 2\sqrt{n_0(n_0 + 1)} + 1) \right) + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha^4|) \right].$ (7)

(1.e) (3 Points) For small U/t, the energy $E(\alpha)$ in Eq. (7) indicates an instability, signaling the transition from a U(1) symmetric Mott insulating state at large U/t to a state with a broken U(1) symmetry (i.e. superfluid state). For $n_0 \gg 1$, show that the superfluid-to-Mott transition point can be estimated to be at

$$(U/t)_c = 8n_0 d. \tag{8}$$

(1.f) (3 Points) Sketch $E(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ in the complex plane for large $U/t > (U/t)_c$ and small $U/t < (U/t)_c$ respectively. How do you expect $\mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^4)$ corrections to change the result? Discuss the signatures you find in these sketches for a spontaneous breaking of a continuous U(1) symmetry when $U/t < (U/t)_c$!

Problem 2 Solid–solid solutions – (solution: Tutorials)

In this problem we consider a crystalline solid composed of two different types of constituent atoms, denoted type A and B respectively. The energies associated with nearest-neighbor pairs of different types are e_{AA} , e_{BB} and e_{AB} respectively. Assume they satisfy the relation

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left(e_{AA} + a_{BB} \right) - e_{AB} < 0, \tag{9}$$

and consider a lattice with coordination number z (i.e. every lattice site has z nearest neighbors).

- (2.a) (3 Points) Calculate the Helmholtz free energy in the Bragg-Williams approximation for a homogeneous system in which the concentration of type A atoms is c_A , and the concentration of type B atoms is $c_B = 1 c_A$.
- (2.b) (3 Points) For $c_A = c_B = 1/2$, show that the system will phase separate below the critical temperature

$$k_B T_c = \frac{z}{2} |\varepsilon|. \tag{10}$$

- (2.c) (3 Points) For $c_A \neq c_B$, show that phase separation will occur at a lower temperature than the T_c found in (2.b).
- (2.d) (3 Points) Calculate the coexistence curve numerically (e.g. using appropriate software such as Mathematica, Matlab etc.) and plot the result in the $k_B T/z|\varepsilon| c_A$ plane.