## 1. Motivation: why is tangent space useful?



Tangent space: spanned by vectors tangent to curves running within a smooth geometric structure.


Basic idea [Haegeman2011]:
Consider Schrödinger equation: $i \frac{d}{d t}|\Psi(t)\rangle=H|\Psi(t)\rangle$
If a small change in an MPS $|\bar{\Psi}\rangle$ is to be computed during time-evolution with a small time step, this change lives in the 'tangent space' of the manifold defined by the MPS, spanned by all states obtained by 'one-site (1s) variations of $\langle\Psi\rangle$, i.e. by changing only one tensor. Thus construct a projector $\hat{P}^{1 s}$ onto this space, and do time evolution using $\hat{P}^{1 s} \hat{H}$

$$
i \frac{d}{d t}|\Psi(t)\rangle \simeq \hat{P}^{15} H|\Psi(t)\rangle
$$

Basic insight: 'If you need to do a projection, do that at the outset, and then work in the projected space, without further approximations!'

This is a very fundamental and general idea. It is applicable to Hamiltonians with hopping
 or interactions of arbitrary range(!) (which is important for applications to 2D systems, treated via 1D snake paths). It has been elaborated in a series of publications:
[Haegeman2013] Detailed exposition of (improved version of) algorithm.
[Haegeman2014a] Mathematical foundations of tangent space approach in language of diff. geometry. (For a gentle introduction to diff. geometry, see Altland \& von Delft, chapters V4, V5.)
[Lubich2015a] Concrete, explicit formula for tangent space projector. $\leftarrow$ Breakthrough result! [Haegeman2016] Unifying time evolution and optimization within tangent space approach.
[Zauner-Stauber2018] Variational ground state optimization for uniform MPS (for infinite systems). [Vanderstraeten2019] Review-style lecture notes on tangent space methods for uniform MPS.
[Gleis2022a], [Gleis2022], [Li2022] Research performed in the von Delft group.
This lecture follows [Gleis2022a] for construction of tangent space projector, and [Haegeman2016], for discussion of time evolution using the time-dependent variational principle (TDVP).

Consider $\mathcal{L}$-site MPS with open boundary conditions:
$|\psi[M]\rangle=\left|\vec{\sigma}_{N}\right\rangle M_{1}^{\sigma_{1}} \ldots M_{l}^{\sigma_{l}} \ldots M_{L}^{\sigma_{2}}$

where $M_{l}^{\sigma_{l}}$ is matrix with elements $M_{l}^{\alpha \sigma_{l}}$, of dimension $D_{l-1} \times D_{l}$, with $D_{0}=D_{\mathcal{L}}=1$
shorthand: $M:=\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{\mathcal{L}}\right) \in \mathbb{M}$ space of tensors with specified dimensions
Gauge freedom: $\langle\mathcal{\psi}[M]\rangle$ is unchanged under 'gauge transformation' on bond indices:


$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{l}^{\sigma_{l}} \mapsto \tilde{M}_{l}^{\sigma_{l}} \equiv G_{l-1}^{-1} M_{\{l\}}^{\sigma_{l}} \xi_{l} \quad, \quad g_{0}=\xi_{\mathcal{L}}=\mathbb{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $G_{\ell} \in G L\left(D_{\ell}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ group of general complex linear transformation in $D_{\ell}$ dimensions

$\mathcal{M}_{\text {Mp }}$ is a differential manifold, since it depends smoothly on the tensors in $\mathbb{M}$.
[Haegeman2014a] discusses this aspect in detail. In our discussion, though, it plays no role.

Gauge freedom can be exploited to bring MPS into site- or bond-canonical form:

Bond-canonical:
with $A_{\sigma}^{+} A^{\sigma}=\mathbb{1}^{k}$,
$Y_{t}=\{$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rightarrow \lambda=\lambda \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\sigma} A_{\sigma}^{\dagger}=\text { diagonal } \forall A_{\ell}  \tag{s}\\
& B_{\sigma}^{\dagger} B^{\sigma}=\text { diagonal } \forall B_{l}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
B^{\sigma} B_{\sigma}^{+}=\mathbb{1}^{K}
$$

requiring this fixes gauge uniquely
$\left\{\left|\Psi_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{\ell}\right\},\left\{\left|\Phi_{\beta}\right\rangle_{\ell}\right\}$ form orthonormal bases for 'kept' (K) subspaces representing left- and right parts

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\psi_{\alpha}^{k}\right\rangle_{l} \\
& \psi_{l}^{b}=\Lambda_{l} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left\langle\Psi^{K \alpha^{\prime}} \mid \Psi_{\alpha}^{K}\right\rangle_{l}=\left[\mathbb{1}_{\ell}^{K}\right]_{\alpha}^{\alpha^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v}^{x} \frac{y y y y_{t}^{\alpha}}{l} \alpha^{\prime}=\{ \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{K \alpha^{\prime}} \mid \Phi_{\beta}^{K}\right\rangle_{\ell}=\left[\mathbb{1}_{l}^{K}\right]_{\beta}^{\beta^{\prime}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\beta^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{R}=?
$$

1-site-canonical:

2-site-canonical:

Relation between 1-site- and bond-canonical: $\psi_{\ell}^{\prime s}=C_{\ell}=A_{\ell} \Lambda_{\ell}=\Lambda_{\ell-1} B_{\ell}$
Relation between 1-site- and 2-site-canonical:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{l}^{2 S}=A_{l} C_{l+1}=C_{\ell} B_{\ell+1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrix elements of Hamiltonian, represented as MPO:
bond (b):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{k}\right|\left\langle\Phi_{\beta}^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{H}^{\prime}\left|\Psi_{\alpha}^{K}\right\rangle\left|\Phi_{\beta}^{k}\right\rangle=\underbrace{\infty}_{\alpha^{\prime}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

1-site (1s):

$$
\left\langle\Psi_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{K}\right|\left\langle\sigma_{l}^{\prime}\right|\left\langle\Phi_{\beta}^{k}\right| H^{\prime}\left|\Psi_{\alpha}^{K}\right\rangle\left|\sigma_{l}\right\rangle\left|\Phi_{\beta}^{K}\right\rangle=
$$


Related by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square H_{l}^{b}=\frac{A_{l}^{+}}{A_{l}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$


for simplicity: assume all virtual bonds have same dimension, D

Definition of kept spaces:
left 'kept' $(K)$ space of site $l: \quad \mathbb{V}_{\ell}^{K}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left|\Psi_{\alpha}^{K}\right\rangle\right\} \subset \mathbb{V}_{1}\left(\otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\ell}\right.$
right 'kept' (K) space of site $l: \mathbb{W}_{\ell+1}^{K}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left|\Phi_{\beta}^{K}\right\rangle_{\ell+1} \subset \mathbb{V}_{\ell+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{L}}\right.$

Action of isometries: generates new kept spaces:
 Isometric conditions, $A_{l}^{+} A_{l}^{(2.5)}=\mathbb{1}_{l}^{K}, \quad B_{l+1} B_{l+1}^{+} \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} \mathbb{1}_{l}^{K}$ ensure orthonormality of kept basis states.


The image spaces of $A_{\ell}$ and $B_{\ell+1}$ are smaller than their parent spaces. Let $\bar{A}_{\ell}$ and $\bar{B}_{\ell+1}$ be their complements, mapping onto 'discarded' (D) spaces orthogonal to kept ones:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}_{l}: \underbrace{\mathbb{V}_{l-1}^{K} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{l}}_{\text {'left parent space' }} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_{l}^{D}, \quad\left|\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}^{K}\right\rangle_{l-1}\left|\sigma_{l}\right\rangle\left[\bar{A}_{l}\right]^{\alpha \sigma_{l}}{ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}=\left|\Psi_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{D}\right\rangle_{l} \quad{ }_{\alpha}^{\beta_{\sigma_{l}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dimensions: $D \cdot d \rightarrow D \cdot d-D=\bar{D}$

$\bar{B}_{l+1}: \underbrace{\mathbb{V}_{\ell+1}(1)}_{\text {'right parent space' }} W_{l+2}^{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{l+1}^{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\bar{B}_{l+1}\right]_{\beta}^{\sigma_{l+1} \beta^{\prime}}\left|\Phi_{\beta^{\prime}}^{k}\right\rangle_{l+2}\left|\sigma_{l+1}\right\rangle=\left|\Phi_{\beta}^{D}\right\rangle_{l+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\square(d \cdot D-D) \times(d \cdot D)
$$

Dimensions: $d \cdot D \rightarrow d \cdot D-D=\bar{D}$
$(d \cdot D-D) \times(d \cdot D)$

By definition, $A_{l}=A_{l} \oplus \bar{A}_{l}$ and $\quad B_{l}=B_{l+1} \oplus \bar{B}_{l+1}$ are unitary maps on their parent spaces:

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Unitarity } \\ & \text { implies: }\end{aligned} A_{l}^{\dagger} A_{l}=\binom{A_{l}^{\dagger}}{$\hdashline $\bar{A}_{l}^{\dagger}}\left(\begin{array}{c:c}A_{l} & \bar{A}_{l} \\ \bar{l}_{l}\end{array}\right) \stackrel{!}{=}\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{l}^{\dagger} & A_{l} \\ A_{l}^{t} & \bar{A}_{l} \\ \bar{A}_{l}^{\dagger} & A_{l} \\ \bar{A}_{l}^{t} \\ \bar{A}_{l}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbb{1}_{l}^{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{l}^{D}\end{array}\right)=\mathbb{1}_{l-1}^{k} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d}$
'orthogonality':
'When K meets K, or D meets D, they yield unity;

Unitarity implies: $A_{l} A_{l}^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\vdots & \bar{A}_{l} \\ A_{l} & \bar{A}_{l} \\ \hdashline & \bar{A}_{l}^{+} \\ \hdashline \cdots \cdots\end{array}\right) \stackrel{!}{=}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbb{1}_{l!}^{K} & \ldots . . \\ \vdots & \mathbb{1}_{l}^{D}\end{array}\right)=\mathbb{1}_{l}^{p}=\mathbb{1}_{l-1}^{K} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d} \quad(15)$
'completeness':

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{l} A_{l}^{+}+\bar{A}_{l} \bar{A}_{l}^{+}=\mathbb{1}_{l-1}^{k} \circledast \mathbb{1}_{d} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$



Similarly: $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}^{f}=\mathbb{1}_{\ell}^{p}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}^{+} B_{\ell+1}=\mathbb{1}_{\ell}^{p}=\mathbb{1}_{d}^{(1)} \mathbb{1}_{l+1}^{k}$ imply:
'orthogonality':

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{l+1} B_{l+1}^{+}=\mathbb{1}_{l}^{K}, \quad \bar{B}_{l+1} \bar{B}_{l+1}^{+}=\mathbb{1}_{l}^{D}, \quad \bar{B}_{l} B_{l+1}^{+}=0, \quad B_{l} \bar{B}_{l}^{+}=0, \quad(20) \\
& \rightarrow 2=\cdots,  \tag{21}\\
& \rightarrow 2=7,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\square \square=\square, \square=\square \\
\text { when } \mathrm{K} \text { meets } \mathrm{D} \text { or } \mathrm{D} \text { meets } \mathrm{K} \text {, they yield zero.' (1) } \\
\left.\stackrel{!}{=}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{1}_{1}^{K} \mathbb{l}_{1}^{\prime} \ldots \ldots . . \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)=\mathbb{1}_{l}^{D}\right)
\end{array}  \tag{13}\\
& \text { when K meets D or D meets K, they yield zero.' (/u) }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{l}^{f} A_{l}=\mathbb{1}_{l}^{k}, \quad \bar{A}_{l}^{+} \bar{A}_{l}=\mathbb{1}_{l}^{D},  \tag{II}\\
& \bar{A}_{l}^{+} A_{l}=0, \quad A_{l}^{+} \bar{A}_{l}=0 \\
& \square_{L}=0, \quad \square_{L}=0 \\
& \boldsymbol{H}_{L}=\{ \\
& \square_{t}=\{, \\
& =\square,
\end{align*}
$$

'When K meets K, or D meets D, they yield unity; when K meets D or D meets K, they yield zero.'
'completeness': $\quad B_{l+1}^{\dagger} B_{l+1}+\bar{B}_{l+1}^{\dagger} \bar{B}_{l+1}=\mathbb{1}_{d} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{l+1}^{K}$,


The completeness relations imply several identities that will be useful later:
is projector can be expressed through bond projectors in two ways:
$\rightarrow \underset{t}{\rightarrow}=\underset{+}{4}+\underset{t}{+}+\underset{+}{t}+\underset{+}{t}$
$\stackrel{(23)}{=} \rightarrow \underset{P}{C \rightarrow+\infty}$

2 s projector can be expressed through four bond projectors:

DD projector can be expressed through 2 s , 1 s and bond projectors that only involve K sectors:


Structure of spaces explored by bond-, 1 s or 2 s schemes can be elucidated by introducing local projectors: Left K projector (cf. MPS-II.1): $\ell \in[0, \mathcal{L}] \quad$ Right K projector: $\ell \in[1, \mathcal{L}+1]$

Right $D$ projector: $\quad \ell \in[1, \mathcal{L}+1]$
Left D projector (cf. MPS-II.1): $\ell \in[0, \mathcal{L}]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{Q}_{\ell}^{D}:=\left|\Phi^{D \beta}\right\rangle_{l \ell}\left\langle\Phi_{\beta}^{D}\right|=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{1} h_{x}^{x}}  \tag{3}\\
& \hat{Q}_{\ell}^{D} \\
& \hat{Q}_{\ell+1}:=0
\end{align*}
$$

Projector properties: $\quad \hat{P}_{l}^{x} \hat{P}_{l}^{\bar{x}}=\delta^{x \bar{x}} \hat{P}_{l}^{x}, \quad \hat{Q}_{l}^{x} \hat{Q}_{l}^{\bar{x}}=\delta^{x \bar{x}} \hat{Q}_{l}^{x} \quad(x \in\{k, D\})$





$\ell \in[1, \mathcal{L}]$ $l \in[1, R+1-n]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{p}_{l}^{b}\right)^{2}=\hat{p}_{l}^{b}, \quad\left(\hat{p}_{l}^{1 s}\right)^{2}=\hat{p}_{l}^{1 s}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{p}_{l}^{n s}\right)^{2}=\hat{p}_{l}^{u s}
$$ $\underset{\substack{\text { Projector property: } \\ \text { follows from (2) }}}{ } \quad\left(\hat{p}_{l}^{b}\right)^{2}=\hat{p}_{l}^{b}, \quad\left(\hat{p}_{l}^{1 s}\right)^{2}=\hat{p}_{l}^{1 s}, \quad\left(\hat{p}_{l}^{n s}\right)^{2}=\hat{p}_{l}^{\text {us }} \quad$ (10)

The projectors $\hat{p}_{l^{\prime}}^{b}, \hat{P}_{\ell^{\prime}}^{1 s}, \hat{P}_{\ell^{\prime \prime}}^{2 s}$ mutually commute (since they are all diagonal in same basis $|\vec{\sigma}\rangle$ ) However, they are not mutually orthogonal (see below).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{p}_{k} \quad \text { (sum over } \alpha \text { implied) } \\
& p_{0}^{k}:=1  \tag{1}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\hat{Q}_{\ell}^{K}:=\left|\Phi^{K \beta}\right\rangle_{\ell l}\left\langle\Phi_{\beta}^{K}\right|=\frac{\sum_{1}+h_{x}}{Y_{\ell} Y Y^{k}} \\
\hat{Q}_{\ell+1}^{K}:=1
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Hamiltonian matrix elements can be obtained from full Hamiltonian via local projectors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{l}^{b}=\hat{p}_{l}^{b} \hat{H} \hat{P}_{l}^{b}, \quad H_{l}^{1 s}=\hat{p}_{l}^{1 s} \hat{H}_{l}^{1 s} \hat{P}_{l}^{1 s}, \quad H_{l}^{u s}=\hat{p}_{l}^{u s} \hat{H}_{l}^{n} \hat{P}_{l}^{u s} \tag{iv}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example:
$\hat{\rho}_{l}^{1 s} \hat{H} \hat{P}_{l}^{1 s}=$


## Projectors for $K$ and $D$ sectors



These fulfill numerous orthogonality relations; e.g.

$$
x \in\{K, D\}
$$

Same-site-indices - orthogonal:
$P_{l \bar{l}}^{x \bar{x}} P_{l \bar{l}}^{x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}}=\delta^{x x^{\prime}} \delta^{\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}} P_{l \bar{l}}^{x \bar{x}}$
egg.


D on earliest or latest site - yields zero:
(14)

egg.

(15)
two D's on same side but different sites - yield zero:

egg.



Bond, 1 s and ns projectors are all KK projectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{l+1}^{o s}=\hat{P}_{l}^{b}=P_{l, l+1}^{k k} \quad=\frac{\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda_{l}^{k} \lambda_{l+1}^{k} \underbrace{h}_{k} h_{k}^{k}}{h_{l}^{k} p_{k}^{k}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{P}_{l}^{u s}=\left.\left.P_{l-1, l+n}^{k K} \quad \sum_{\ell-1}^{\frac{\lambda}{k}}\right|_{l} ^{\lambda}\right|_{l+1} \cdots \frac{1}{p_{l+n}^{k} h_{k}^{k}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

hs projectors are not orthogonal. E.g.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{l}^{1 S} P_{l+1}^{1 s}=p_{l+1}^{\text {OS }}=p_{l}^{b} \text {, e.g. } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$


ns projector is annihilated by left $D$ on its left or right $D$ on its right:

Any ns projector can be expressed through two ( $n-1$ ) s projectors, in two different ways: E.g.

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{l}^{1 S}= \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { or } \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\ell}^{2 S}= \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

or

Let $\bigvee 1$ Ss denote the 'tangent space' of $|\Psi\rangle$, ie. the space of all 1 s variations of $|\Psi\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{15}=\text { span of all states }\left|\Psi^{\prime}\right\rangle \text { differing from }|\Psi\rangle \text { on precisely } 1 \text { sites }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { formal definition: }=\operatorname{span}\left\{\underset{\hat{\omega}_{\text {image }}}{\operatorname{im}\left(P_{l}^{\text {s }}\right)} \mid \ell \in[1, \mathcal{L}]\right\} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The 'tangent space projector' is defined by the property that its image is the tangent space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{1 s}=i m\left(p^{1 s}\right), \Rightarrow \operatorname{im}\left(p_{\ell}^{1 s}\right) \subset \operatorname{im}\left(p^{1 s}\right) \text { for all } l \in[1, \mathcal{L}] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formally: $p^{\text {Is }}$ has the defining properties: $\quad\left(p^{15}\right)^{2}=p^{1 s}, \quad p^{\text {is }} p_{l}^{1 s}=p_{l}^{(13)}$
We seek to construct $P^{1 s}$ explicitly. Note that $\quad \sum_{l^{\prime}=1}^{\mathscr{L}} P_{l^{\prime}}^{15}$ does not work, since summand are not mutually orthogonal (see below).

We attempt to orthogonalize them by a Gram-Schmidt type of procedure:

Define $P_{l \leq}^{15}$, obtained from $P_{l}^{15}$ by projecting out the overlap with $P_{l \pm 1}^{1 s}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\ell s}^{1 s}:=P_{\ell}^{1 s}\left(\mathbb{1}_{V}-P_{\ell \pm 1}^{1 s}\right) \quad \text { subtraction generates } D \text { sectors! } \\
& \stackrel{(4.20)}{=}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{l}^{15}-p_{l}^{b} \stackrel{(4.23)}{=} \\
P_{l, l+1}^{D K} \\
P_{l}^{15}-P_{l-1}^{b} \stackrel{(4.24)}{=} \\
P_{l-1, l}^{K D}
\end{array}\right. \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Note in (17) \& (18): subtraction generates D sectors, via (3.17) \& (3.24):


Due to the D's, the following orthogonality conditions hold:

$$
P_{l \leqslant}^{1 s} P_{l^{\prime} \leqslant}^{1 s}=\delta_{l l^{\prime}} P_{l \leqslant}^{1 s}
$$

$$
P_{l s}^{1 s} P_{l^{\prime} s}^{1 s}=\delta_{l l^{\prime}} P_{l \leqslant}^{1 s}
$$

for all $\ell<\ell^{\prime}: \quad P_{\ell<}^{15} P_{\left.\ell^{\prime}\right\rangle}^{15}=0$
egg.

(21)

Tangent space projector is defined by following sum, where $l^{\prime}$ can be freely chosen from $\ell^{\prime} \in[1, \mathcal{L}]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{1 s}:=\sum_{l=1}^{\ell^{\prime}-1} \underbrace{P_{l<}^{1 s}}_{\substack{P_{l} D K \\ l_{1} l+1}}+P_{l^{\prime}}^{1 s}+\sum_{l=l^{\prime}+1}^{\mathcal{L}} \underbrace{P_{l>}^{1 s}}_{\substack{P_{l-1, l}^{K D}}} \quad \text { for any } l^{\prime} \in[1, \mathcal{L}] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$



Projector properties (14) hold, because the summands are mutually orthogonal projectors: For example:
$\forall l^{\prime} \in[1, \mathcal{L}]: \quad p^{\prime s} p_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime s}=\left(\sum_{l=1}^{l^{\prime}-1} P_{l<}^{1 s}+P_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime s}+\sum_{l=l^{\prime}+1}^{2} P_{l>}^{1 s}\right) p_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime s}=P_{l^{\prime}}^{1 s}$
hence (13) holds: $\quad \operatorname{im}\left(\begin{array}{c}P_{\ell}^{1 s}\end{array}\right) \subset \operatorname{im}\left(P^{1 s}\right)$ for all $l \in[1, \mathcal{L}]$
Alternative expression for tangent space projector, expressed purely through bond projectors:
use (3.17) for $\ell^{\prime}$ term of (22): $\rightarrow \uparrow=\frac{1}{\rightarrow+}+\frac{+}{t}$

Another alternative expression for tangent space projector, without any D sectors: use (17), (18) in (22):

$$
p^{1 s}=\sum_{l=1}^{l-1}\left(p_{l}^{1 s}-p_{l}^{b}\right)+p_{l}^{1 s}+\sum_{l=l^{\prime}+1}^{\mathcal{L}}\left(p_{l}^{1 s}-p_{l-1}^{b}\right) \quad \text { for any } \quad l^{\prime} \in[1, \mathcal{L}]
$$

(26) for tangent space projector was first found in [Lubich2015a]. It is often used in the literature [Haegeman2016], [Vanderstraeten2019, Sec. 3.2], e.g. for time evolution with time-dependent variational principle (TDVP), see (TS.6).

