TNB-I.0

Why study tensor networks? Because tensor networks provide a flexible description of quantum states. They encode <u>entanglement</u> between subsystems in the <u>bonds</u> linking the tensors of the network.

Course outline:

- Tensor network basics
- Matrix product states (MPS): 1d tensor networks
- (Optional: Symmetries Qspace)
- Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) for 1d quantum lattices models
- Numerical Renormalization Group (NRG) for quantum impurity models
- Projected Entangled Pair States (PEPS) for 2d quantum lattice models
- Various Tensor Renormalization Group (TRG) approaches
- Machine learning with tensor networks

References: consult the bibtex file TensorNetworkLiterature.bib on course website \rightarrow References

Lecture 01: Tensor networks basics I

- 1. Notation for generic quantum lattice system
- 2. Entanglement and Area Laws
- 3. Tensor network diagrams (graphical conventions)





1. Notation for generic quantum lattice system



s, se se





MPS: matrix product state

PEPS: projected entangled-pair state

arbitrary tensor network

We will see:

- a link between two sites represents entanglement between them
- different representations \Rightarrow different entanglement book-keeping
- tensor network = entanglement representation of a quantum state

TNB-I.2



\$:=	~ A/3	~	(area of boundary of	$A = \partial A$	Print	(3)
		~	Ĺ	in 3D for gapped system	مليبة	(3a)
		~	L	in 2D for gapped system	Ę	(s p)
		~	coust.	in 1D for gapped system	<u>_</u>	(3()
		~	coust. + ln L	in 1D for gapless system		(3d)

Area law has consequences for the numerical costs required for adequately encoding the entanglement in tensor network descriptions of the ground state. To see this, we review some basic properties of reduced density matrices.

Suppose the two subsystems, A and \mathbb{B} , are defined on Hilbert spaces with with dimensions \square and \square' , and orthonormal bases $\{ |\alpha\rangle \}$ and $\{ |\beta\rangle \}$. Here α and β enumerate all basis states of Hilbert spaces of A and \mathfrak{Z} , respectively.

graphical notation

$$\langle \psi | = \psi^{\dagger}_{\beta' \alpha'} \underbrace{\langle \beta' | \langle \alpha' | }_{i=1} \underbrace{\langle \beta' | \langle \alpha' | }_{\psi \alpha' \beta'} \langle \alpha' | }_{\psi \alpha' \beta'} \langle \alpha' |$$

$$(4b)$$

$$\alpha' + \frac{\psi^{\dagger}}{\psi} + \beta' \qquad (4c)$$

Density matrix: $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$

 $|\psi\rangle = |\alpha\rangle_{a}|_{B}\gamma_{B}\psi^{\alpha}P$

Reduced density matrix of subsystem A:

$$\hat{\beta}_{A} = \operatorname{Tr}_{g} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| = \sum_{\vec{\beta}} \langle \vec{\beta} | \alpha \rangle |\beta \rangle \psi^{\alpha\beta} \psi^{\dagger}_{\beta \alpha'} \langle \beta' | \langle \alpha' | \vec{\beta} \rangle \langle \psi \rangle \langle \phi \rangle$$

with

$$(\rho_{A})^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha'} = \sum_{\overline{\beta}} \langle \overline{\beta} | \beta \rangle_{\overline{\beta}} \psi^{\alpha} \beta \psi^{\dagger}_{\beta'\alpha'} \langle \overline{\beta} \beta' | \overline{\beta} \rangle_{\overline{\beta}} = \psi^{\alpha} \beta \psi^{\dagger}_{\beta\alpha'} = (\psi \psi^{\dagger})^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha'}$$

$$(\rho_{A})^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha'} = \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\dagger}_{\beta} \psi^{\dagger}_{\beta} = \psi^{\alpha} \beta \psi^{\dagger}_{\beta\alpha'} = (\psi \psi^{\dagger})^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha'}$$

$$(q)$$

$$(q)$$

$$(q)$$

Analogously: reduced density matrix of subsystem $\,\, {\it S}\,\,$:

ī.

$$\hat{\beta}_{3} = T_{F_{\beta}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \right] \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \right]_{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{\beta}$$

Diagrammatic derivation:

.

Algebraic derivation:

Normalization

Entanglement entropy:

$$(P_{\mathfrak{F}})^{\beta}{}_{\mathfrak{F}}{}^{i} = \sum_{\mathfrak{A}} \langle \overline{\mathfrak{A}} | \mathfrak{A} \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{V}^{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{V}^{\mathfrak{$$

Now it is always possible to find bases for the Hilbert spaces of $\not A$ and $\not B$ in which both reduced density matrices $\hat{\rho}_{A}$ and $\hat{\rho}_{B}$ are diagonal. (Tool to achieve this: 'singular value decomposition', see Sec. TNB-II.1.)

E.g. for
$$\hat{p}_A$$
: $(\psi \psi^{\dagger})^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\alpha} + \delta^{\alpha}_{\alpha} + \delta^{\alpha}_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 1, \dots$ D (1)

$$I = Tr \hat{\rho}_{A} = \sum_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} \qquad (12)$$

 $\mathcal{N}' \stackrel{(Z)}{=} - \sum_{\alpha = 1}^{D} \omega_{\alpha} \log \omega_{\alpha} \qquad (13c)$

Maximal if
$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{1}{D}$$
 for all α : $\leq -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{D} \frac{1}{D} \log_2 \frac{1}{D} = \log_2 D$ (13b)

$$z^{\mathcal{N}} \neq \mathcal{D} \qquad (14)$$

 \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow \qquad z^{N} \leq \mathcal{D} \qquad (14)$$

To fully capture entanglement between subsystems \clubsuit and \clubsuit , the reduced density matrix dimension \square must satisfy								
1D gapp	ed: $D \sim Z$ (independent of system size!)	ं	(15a)					
1D critica	al: (3d) coust + la ~ power law in h	<u> </u>	(15)					
2D gapp	ed: $(3b) z^{L}$	$\langle \dot{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle$	(150)					
3D gapp	ed: $(3k) \sim 2^{L^2}$	\dot{n}	(15d)					
	Important conclusion: for gapped and gapless systems in 1D, ground state entanglement can be encoded efficiently using limited numerical resources. For 2D or 3D systems, numerical costs grow exponentially.							
In 👌	$\psi = \left[\alpha\right]_{A}\left[\beta\right]_{B}\psi^{\alpha\beta}$							
the entanglement between subsystems $ at A$ and $ at B$ is encoded in the two-index tensor $ au arphi^{lphaeta}$								

Quite generally, <u>entanglement</u> between subsystems can be encoded via<u>tensors</u>. For several connected subsystems (e.g. lattice sites), this leads to a description in terms of <u>tensor networks</u>.

Tensor network diagrams

TNB-I.3

'tensor' = multi-dimensional array of numbers

'rank of degree' = number of indices = # of legs

'dimension of leg' = number of values taken by its index,



[Our conventions for using arrows and distinguishing between super- and subscripts ('covariant notation') will be explained in Sec. TNB-II.1. In short: incoming = upstairs, outgoing = downstairs. Use of covariant notation is not customary in tensor network litertarure - most authors write all indices downstairs, and you may do so too. However, covariant notation does become useful when exploiting non-Abelian symmetries.]

Index contraction: summation over repeated index



'open index' = non-contracted index (here ♥ , 🏌)

'tensor network' = set of tensors with some or all indices contracted according to some pattern

Examples:



Cost of computing contractions



Finding optimal contraction order is difficult problem! In practice: rely on experience, trial and error...

Next sections:

- Covariant index notation, arrow conventions
- Iterative diagonalization of a chain
- Singular value decomposition (needed for finding efficient representations of entanglement)
- Schmidt decomposition (most efficient way of representing entanglement)