Bosonization for Beginners

Lectures given by Jan von Delft School on Low Dimensional Nanoscopic Systems Harish-chandra research Institute Allahabad, India Jan 28 - Feb 9, 2008 Jan von Delft Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München www.theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de/~lsvondelft

Internships - Summer Research Program www.nano-initiative-munich.de/summer

Masters programme in Theoretical and Mahematical Physics www.theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de/TMP

International Doctorate Program NanoBioTechnology www.cens.de/doctorate-program.html

In 1D, "bosonization relations" of the following form hold:

 $\gamma \gamma \sim F e^{-i\phi z}$ boson field fermion field Klein factor

Goal of lectures:

- explain origin of these relations
- illustrate them with some canonical examples

Outline:

- I. 1D-fermions, 1D-bosons
- II. Bosonization identity
- III. Impurity in Luttinger Liquid
- IV. Kondo model

Literature:

- Bosonization for Beginners refermionization for experts, Jan von Delft & Herbert Schoeller,
- Ann. Physics 7, 225-306 (1998), cond-mat/9805275
- Simple Bosonization Solution of the 2-channel Kondo Model: I. Analytical Calculation of Finite-Size Crossover Spectrum, Gergely Zarand and Jan von Delft,
- Phys. Rev. B. 61, 6918 (2000) [including appendices: cond-mat/9812192]
- Interacting fermions in one dimension: The Tomonaga-Luttinger model K. Schönhammer, cond-mat/9710330

Popular applications

(pioneered by: Luttinger, Schotte & Schotte, Mattis &Lieb, Luther & Peschel, Haldane applications: Kane & Fisher, Wen, Shankar...)

1. Interactions in 1D

Since fermions in 1D cannot pass each other, interactions are "strong" and dramatically change the physics (e.g. spin-charge separation)

Applications:

nanotubes

organic molecules

semiconductor quantum wires









quantum Hall edge states

Interactions in 1D:

Kinetic energy:

Interacting model becomes exactly solvable!

<u>2.</u> (Er

-

 \sim

Questions:	$\psi_{\sigma} \sim F_{\sigma} e^{-i\phi_{\sigma}} \qquad (\sigma = \hat{\Gamma}, \downarrow) \qquad (1) \qquad 5$
How general is (5.1)?	only in ID, infinite bandwidth
Does (5.1) rely on linear dispersi	ion? NO !
Is (5.1) an operator identity?	YEs! On what Fock space?
Commutation relations?	$\left[\phi(\kappa), \partial_{x}\phi(o)\right] = \delta(\kappa) \iff \left\{\psi(\kappa), \psi^{\dagger}(o)\right\} = \delta(\kappa)$
Several species of electrons?	$\{\psi_{\uparrow}(x), \psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(0)\} \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \iff \{F_{\downarrow}, F_{\uparrow}^{\downarrow}\} = 0$ (Klein factors!
Role of cut-offs ? Inf	Frared: $\frac{1}{L}$ Ultraviolet: $\Lambda \sim \frac{1}{a}$
Finite-size effects?	== 0 Useful !!
Outline of lecture I: 1-D fermion	ns & bosons
1. Linearization of fermion spec	ctrum 4. Normal ordering

- 2. Properties of 1d fermion fields
- 3. 1D fermion correlators

- 5. Density fluctuations bosonic excitations
- 6. Properties of 1d boso



Neglected terms [order (k/kF)] describe curvature effects: current research topic!

Fermi-Luttinger liquid: Spectral function of interacting one-dimensional fermions, Khodas, Pustilnik, Kamenev, Glazman, PRB, 76, 155402 (2007)

Replacing (6.1) by (6.2) is justified if we are interested only in long-wavelength / low/energy 7properties, with $|q| << \Gamma$ anyway, i.e. in excitation energies ω, τ, V In this case, we may as well send cutoff $\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$, and replace theory $1 \rightarrow 2$

Corresponding approximation for electron fields, step by step:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{pluge}(\mathbf{x}) &= \Delta_{L}^{1/2} \sum_{p} e^{i p \cdot \mathbf{x}} \sum_{p} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left(e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} + e^{+i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right) \\ &= \Delta_{L}^{1/2} \sum_{p} e^{-i (p_{p} - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left(e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} + e^{+i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right) \\ &= \Delta_{L} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2K} \int_{p} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} + e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{p} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-k_{F}} e^{-i (p_{$$

Drop high-energy excitations, assuming they don't matter for low-energy properties:

1: drop B
$$\rightarrow \psi(x) = e^{-i\rho F^{2}} \psi(x) + e^{-i\rho F^{2}} \psi(-x)$$
 (2)

with

$$\Psi_{L/R}(n) := \Delta_L^{1/2} \sum_{|k| < \Gamma} e^{-ik \times C} C_{k, L/R}$$
(3)

I.2 Properties of 1d fermion fields

Cutoff means: new fields $\mathcal{Y}_{L/R}(x)$ can resolve spatial structures only if they are coarser than $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$;



 $\Sigma \longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n-1 \ge n} |k|a|$



(x is smeared on scale a)

$$\Psi_{\eta}(x) = \Delta^{1/2} \sum_{k} e^{-ikx}$$

 $\psi_{1}(-4/2) = \psi_{1}(-4/2) \Longrightarrow$

vay):
$$|\mathbf{k}| < \mathbf{f} \simeq \mathbf{a}$$

(implicit) \mathbf{k}

So, write:

Step

Impose <u>anti</u>-periodic boundary conditions: (convenient to avoid degeneracy of Fermi ground state)

Anticommutators:
$$\begin{cases} c_{kq}, c_{k'} \downarrow = \circ, \quad \{c_{kq}, c_{k'}, j\} = \delta_{kk'} \delta_{q'} & (i) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} q_{q}(s), q_{q}(s) \} = \circ & (s) \\ \{q_{q}(s), q_{q}(s) \} = \circ & (s) \\ (s) \\ = \delta_{q}(s) \\ (s) \\ (s)$$

$$- \int_{\eta} (z) = \Delta_{L} \sigma y^{-\sigma/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^{n} = \Delta_{L} \sigma \frac{y^{-(\sigma+1)/2}}{y^{-1/2} - y^{1/2}} = \frac{\delta_{\eta} (\sigma+1)/2}{\frac{L}{\pi} \sinh\left[\frac{\pi}{L}(z+\sigma a)\right]}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \underline{I.4 \ Fermion \ normal \ ordering} \\ \hline A, B, C \in \{C_{k, Y}, c^{\dagger}_{k' Y'}\} \\ \hline C_{k, Y}, \ for \ k > 0 \\ \hline C_{k, Y}, \ for \ k < 0 \\ \hline C_{k, Y}, \ for \ k < 0 \\ \hline C_{k, Y}, \ for \ k > 0$$

For product of two operators, this is equivalent to:

 $XABX = AB - \langle o | AB | o \rangle$ (3)

Example:
$$k < 0$$
, $k' < 0$: $x < k < k' x = -C_{k'} < k$ (4)

$$(9.1) = C_{k} C_{k} C_{k'} - \delta_{kk'} = (1)$$

$$(5)$$

By definition, vacuum expectation value of two normal ordered operators vanishes:

 $\begin{array}{c} (3) \\ \langle 0 | {}^{\times}_{X} A B {}^{\times}_{X} | 0 \rangle = 0 \end{array}$ (6)

I.5 Density fluctuations - bosonic excitations

$$[A, BC] = [A, B]_{\mp} \subset \pm B[A, C]_{\mp} \qquad (i) \qquad \boxed{14}$$

$$[a^{+}b, c^{+}d] = [a^{+}b, c^{+}]d + c^{+}[a^{+}b, d] = a^{+}d S_{bc} - c^{+}b S_{ad}$$
 (2)

Bosonic commutation relations: (for notational simplicity, below we drop the index η)

$$[N, b_q] = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{kk'} \left[c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k}, c_{k'-q}^{\dagger} c_{k'} \right]$$
(3)



momentum-lowering operator does not change particle number

Similarly:

$$= \frac{-i}{\sqrt{N_{g}}} \sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{c_{k}} c_{k+q} - \frac{1}{c_{k}} c_{k-q} c_{k} \right) = 0 \quad (c)$$
shift: $k \rightarrow k+q$

$$\begin{bmatrix} N, b_q^{f} \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} b_q, b_{q'} \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} b_q, b_{q'} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
(6)

$$\delta_{22'} = [b_{2}, b_{q'}] = \frac{1}{n_{q}} \sum_{kk'} [c_{k-q}^{\dagger} C_{k}, c_{k'+q'}^{\dagger} C_{k'}] \qquad (i) \qquad [a^{\dagger}b, c^{\dagger}d] = [14]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \left[C_{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} C_{\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}'} - C_{\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{q}'}^{\dagger} \delta_{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}'} \right]$$
(2)

$$= \frac{1}{n_{q}} \sum_{k} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ C \\ k-q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -c \\ k-q + q' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ k-q + q' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(2)$$

if $q \neq q'$, both terms are normal-ordered, so we can set $k + q' \rightarrow k$ here, obtaining \circ . if q = q', both terms have to be normal-ordered first, before rearranging sum; this gives:

$$\frac{6. \text{ Properties of 1d Boson fields}}{\text{"annihilation field":}} = \sum_{q \neq 0} \frac{2}{q \neq 0} \frac{1}{q \neq 0$$

The ultraviolet cutoff there acts as a bandwidth for bosonic excitations. In fermion language, it sets the maximum momentum difference between particle/hole pairs.

$$\varphi_{\gamma}(x) = \varphi_{\gamma}(x) + \varphi_{\gamma}^{+}(z)$$
(3)

Derivative gives density: (provided a = 0)

Hermitian boson field:

Compare (16.4) & (13.3):

$$\partial_{x}\phi_{\gamma}(x) = \Delta_{L} \sum_{l} i \int_{\mathcal{T}_{l}} \left(e^{-ilx} b_{ll} - e^{ilx} b_{ll}^{*} \right)$$
(4)

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_{1}^{\dagger}(x) \psi_{1}(x) = \Delta_{2} \operatorname{N}_{1} + \partial_{x} \phi_{1} \qquad (5)$$

(for notational simplicity, below we drop the index η)

$$\frac{\text{Boson field commutators:}}{(14.6)} \quad (\text{for notational simplicity, below we drop the index } 1) \quad [/7]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{q}, b_{q'} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{q}^{\dagger}, b_{q'}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (\gamma(x), \varphi(x)) \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{q}, b_{q'}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{qq'} \implies \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(x), \varphi^{\dagger}(x') \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{qq'} \frac{1}{m_{q'}} e^{-iqx} e^{-iqx} \begin{bmatrix} b_{q}, b_{q'}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

$$q = \Delta_{L} n = \sum_{q>0} \frac{i}{n_{q}} e^{-iq(x-x')} e^{-\Delta q}$$

$$q = e^{-i\Delta_{L}(x-x'-i\alpha)} = \sum_{q>0} \frac{i}{n_{q}} e^{-iq(x-x')} e^{-\Delta q}$$

$$(3)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} y^{n} = - \ln(1-y)$$
 (4)

$$\xrightarrow{L \to \infty} - \ln \left[i \Delta_L (x - x' - ia) \right]$$
(5)

Note: this commutator needs both infrared and ultraviolet regulators, 1/L and a, respectively!

Commutator of phi with its derivative

$$\frac{Commutator of phi with its derivative}{\left[\left[\varphi(k), \partial_{k'}\varphi(k')\right]\right] = \left[\varphi(k), \partial_{k'}\varphi'(k')\right] + \left[\varphi'(k), \partial_{k'}\varphi(k')\right] \qquad (1)$$

$$\left[\varphi(k), \varphi'(k)\right]_{=}^{(1+k)} = \left[\varphi(k), \partial_{k'}\varphi'(k')\right] + \left[\varphi'(k), \partial_{k'}\varphi(k')\right] \qquad (2)$$

$$y = e^{-i\Delta_{L}(k-x'-ia)}$$

$$y = e^{-i\Delta_{L}(k-x'-ia)} + \frac{i\Delta_{L}}{2} + \frac{i\sigma'}{2} - c.c. \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{i}{e^{\Delta_{-1}}} + \frac{i}{2} +$$



(4) is the form most often quoted, with a = 0, L = infinity.