

1)

$$1) \quad U_{L,R}(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} U'_{L,R}(x) = S_{L,R} U_{L,R}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}x)$$

(or  $U'_{L,R}(x') = S_{L,R} U_{L,R}(x)$  , with  $x' \equiv \mathcal{L}x$  )

↳ suppress spacetime dependence from now on

$$S_{L,R} = e^{-i\frac{\sigma_j}{2}(\theta_j \mp i\phi_j)} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \quad (\text{complex } n \times n \text{-matrices with determinant 1, not necessarily unitary})$$

a)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{L,R}^+ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \left( -\frac{i}{2} \sigma_j (\theta_j \mp i\phi_j) \right)^n \right]^+ \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \left( -\frac{i}{2} \sigma_j (\theta_j \mp i\phi_j) \right)^+ \right]^n = e^{+\frac{i}{2} \sigma_j (\theta_j \pm i\phi_j)} \\ &= +\frac{i}{2} \sigma_j (\theta_j \pm i\phi_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot S_{L,R}^+ S_{R,L} = e^{+\frac{i}{2} \sigma_j (\theta_j \pm i \phi_j)} \cdot e^{-\frac{i}{2} \sigma_j (\theta_j \pm i \phi_j)} = \underline{\underline{1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{L,R}^+ = S_{R,L}^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \sigma_2 \sigma_j \sigma_2 &= \sigma_2 (\delta_{j2} + i \epsilon_{j2k} \sigma_k) \\ &= \underbrace{[\sigma_2 \delta_{2j} + i \epsilon_{j2k} (\delta_{2k} + i \epsilon_{2kl} \sigma_l)]}_{=} \\ &= -(\delta_{j1} - \delta_{2j} \delta_{21}) \sigma_1 = -\sigma_j + \sigma_2 \delta_{2j} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \underbrace{(2 \delta_{2j} \sigma_2 - \sigma_j)}_{= -\sigma_j^*}$$

since  $\sigma_1^* = \sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_3^* = \sigma_3$   
but  $\sigma_2^* = -\sigma_2$

$$= -\sigma_j^* \quad (*)$$

$$\rightarrow \sigma_2 S_{L,R} \sigma_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sigma_2 \left[ -\frac{i}{2} \sigma_j (\theta_j \pm i \phi_j) \right]^n \sigma_2 =$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[ -\frac{i}{2} \underbrace{\sigma_2 \sigma_j \sigma_2}_{(*)} (\theta_j \mp i\phi_j) \right]^n = e^{\mp \frac{i}{2} \sigma_j^* (\theta_j \mp i\phi_j)^*}$$

$$= S_{R,L}^*$$

$$S_{L,R}^T = (S_{L,R}^+)^* \stackrel{(4a)}{=} (S_{R,L}^{-1})^* = (S_{R,L}^*)^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{(4b)}{=} (\sigma_2 S_{L,R} \sigma_2)^{-1} = \sigma_2^{-1} S_{L,R}^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} = \sigma_2 S_{L,R}^{-1} \sigma_2$$

b)

$$u_L \xrightarrow{L} S_L u_L \Rightarrow u_L^* \xrightarrow{L} S_L^* u_L^* = \sigma_2 S_R \sigma_2 u_L^*$$

$$\Rightarrow (\sigma_2 u_L^*) \xrightarrow{L} S_R (\sigma_2 u_L^*) \Rightarrow (\sigma_2 u_L^*) \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$v_L \xrightarrow{L} S_L v_L \Rightarrow v_L^T \xrightarrow{L} v_L^T S_L^T = v_L^T \sigma_2 S_L^{-1} \sigma_2$$

$$\Rightarrow (v_L^T \sigma_2 u_L) \xrightarrow{L} v_L^T \sigma_2 S_L^{-1} \underbrace{\sigma_2 \sigma_2}_{=1} S_L u_L = v_L^T \sigma_2 u_L$$

$$\Rightarrow (v_L^T \sigma_2 u_L) \in (0, 0)$$

$$u_L^+ \xrightarrow{L} u_L^+ S_L^+ = u_L^+ S_R^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow (u_L^+ \sigma_-^M u_L) \xrightarrow{L} u_L^+ S_R^{-1} \sigma_-^M S_L u_L$$



3)  $\hookrightarrow$  now, what is  $S_R^{-1} \sigma_-^{\mu} S_L$ ?

$\hookrightarrow$  consider infinitesimal version:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \mathbb{1} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_j (\theta_j + i \phi_j) \right] \sigma_-^{\mu} \left[ \mathbb{1} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_k (\theta_k + i \phi_k) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2, \phi^2) \\ &= \sigma_-^{\mu} - \frac{i}{2} \theta_j [\sigma_-^{\mu}, \sigma_j] - \frac{1}{2} \phi_j \{ \sigma_-^{\mu}, \sigma_j \} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2, \phi^2) \end{aligned}$$

$\mu=0$ :  $S_R^{-1} \sigma_-^0 S_L$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sigma_-^0 - \phi_j \sigma_j + \dots \\ &= \sigma_-^0 - \phi_j \sigma_j + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$\mu=i$ :  $S_R^{-1} \sigma_-^i S_L = \sigma_-^i + \frac{i}{2} \theta_j [\sigma_-^i, \sigma_j] + \frac{1}{2} \phi_j \{ \sigma_-^i, \sigma_j \} + \dots$

$\underbrace{[\sigma_-^i, \sigma_j]}_{= -2i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k}$        $\underbrace{\{ \sigma_-^i, \sigma_j \}}_{= -2 \delta_{ij}}$

$$= \sigma_-^i + \epsilon_{ijk} \theta_j \sigma_k - \phi_i \mathbb{1} + \dots = \sigma_-^i + \epsilon_{ijk} \theta_j \sigma_k - \phi_i \sigma_-^0 + \dots$$

$\hookrightarrow$  now compare this to an infinitesimal Lorentz transf of a 4-vector  $v^{\mu}$ :

$$v^{\mu} \xrightarrow{\omega} v'^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} v^{\nu} = \left( \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \underbrace{\omega^{\mu}_{\nu}}_{\text{anti-symm}} \right) v^{\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow v^0 \rightarrow v^0 + \omega_{0i} v^i$$

$$v^i \rightarrow v^i - \omega_{ij} v^j + \omega_{0i} v^0$$



(3/1)

note that for  $\omega_{0i} > 0$  and ~~very~~

$\omega_{12} > 0, \omega_{23} > 0, \omega_{31} > 0$ , we have a Lorentz  
transform in "positive" direction, while for  $\omega_{0i} < 0$ ,

$\omega_{12}, \omega_{23}, \omega_{31} < 0$  it is in the "negative" direction

$\hookrightarrow$  let's define  $\omega_{0i} \equiv -d_i$  (with  $d^i = -d_i > 0$ )

and  $\omega_{ij} \equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \theta_k$  (with  $\epsilon^{123} = +1$  and  
 $\theta^k > 0$ )

$\hookrightarrow$  then we see that

$$S_R^{-1} \sigma^{\mu\nu} S_L = \Lambda^{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}$$

(after compounding the  
infinitesimal transforms to  
get a finite transform)

$\downarrow$   
"positive" direction

$$\Rightarrow (u_L^\dagger \sigma_-^M u_L) \xrightarrow{L} \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu (u_L^\dagger \sigma_-^\nu u_L)$$

$\hookrightarrow$  so  $(u_L^\dagger \sigma_-^M u_L)$  transforms as a vector, hence lives in the  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  representation.

$\hookrightarrow$  similarly,  $(u_R^\dagger \sigma_+^M u_R) \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

$$\cdot \mathcal{L} = i u_L^\dagger \sigma_-^M \partial_M u_L + i u_R^\dagger \sigma_+^M \partial_M u_R + u_L^\dagger \sigma_2 u_L + \text{h.c.}$$

$$c) \cdot \Sigma^{0i} = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{boost generators})$$

$$\cdot \Sigma^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{rotation generators})$$

$$\cdot \psi = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow S\psi = e^{i\theta_{\mu\nu} \Sigma^{\mu\nu}} \psi$$

$$= e^{i[2\theta_{0i} \Sigma^{0i} + \theta_{ij} \Sigma^{ij}]} \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \end{pmatrix}$$

=

$$y = \exp \left[ \theta_{0i} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} i \theta_{ij} \epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \end{pmatrix}$$

Since exp. is diag. matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\theta_{0i} \sigma^i - \frac{1}{2} i \theta_{ij} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\theta_{0i} \sigma^i - \frac{1}{2} i \theta_{ij} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \end{pmatrix}$$

c) if we now define

$$\theta_{0i} = -\frac{d_i}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{ij} \epsilon^{ijk} = +\theta^k$$

we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_L u_L \\ S_R u_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as it should.}$$

d)  $\{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1_+ \\ \sigma^1_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2_+ \\ \sigma^2_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2_+ \\ \sigma^2_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1_+ \\ \sigma^1_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1_+ \sigma^2_- & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^1_- \sigma^2_+ \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2_+ \sigma^1_- & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2_- \sigma^1_+ \end{pmatrix} = 2 g^{\mu\nu}$$



$$-\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^5\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_+^M \\ \sigma_-^M & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_+^M \\ \sigma_-^M & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_+^M \\ \sigma_-^M & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_+^M \\ -\sigma_-^M & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\gamma^{\mu\dagger} = \begin{cases} \gamma^0 & , \mu=0 \\ -\gamma^i & , \mu=i \end{cases}$$

↳ since  $\gamma^0$  commutes with  $\gamma^0$ , but anti-commutes with  $\gamma^i$ :  $\gamma^{\mu\dagger} = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0$

$$\gamma_5^\dagger = +i \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^1 \gamma^0 = -i \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^1 \gamma^0$$

$$= -i (-1)^{3+2+1} \cdot \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = \gamma_5$$

$$x) \psi \xrightarrow{L} S \psi$$

$$S = e^{i\theta_{\mu\nu} \Sigma^{\mu\nu}}$$

$$\bar{\psi} \xrightarrow{L} \bar{\psi} S^\dagger$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\psi} \xrightarrow{L} \bar{\psi} S^\dagger \gamma^0 = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 S^\dagger \gamma^0$$

$$\hookrightarrow \Sigma^{\mu\nu\dagger} = -\frac{1}{4i} [\gamma^{\nu\dagger}, \gamma^{\mu\dagger}] = \frac{1}{4i} [\gamma^{\mu\dagger}, \gamma^{\nu\dagger}] \stackrel{(d)}{=} \gamma^0 \Sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^0$$

5)

$$\Rightarrow \gamma^0 S^\dagger \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 e^{-i\theta_{\mu\nu} \Sigma^{\mu\nu\dagger}} \gamma^0 = e^{-i\theta_{\mu\nu} \Sigma^{\mu\nu}}$$

$$= S^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\psi} \xrightarrow{L} \bar{\psi} S^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\psi} \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi} S^{-1} S \psi = \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (\text{scalar})$$

$$\cdot \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \xrightarrow{L} \bar{\psi} S^{-1} \gamma^\mu S \psi$$

$\hookrightarrow$  following analogous steps as before, we can

$$\text{show that } S^{-1} \gamma^\mu S = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \xrightarrow{L} \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \psi \quad (\text{vector})$$

$$\not{L} \psi = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot R \psi = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  if we choose a basis, where  $\gamma_5$  is not diagonal,  $(LR \psi)$  ~~will~~ will still contain upper and lower components.