



https://www2.physik.uni-muenchen.de/lehre/vorlesungen/sose_22/TMP-TA3/index.html

Sheet 8:

Hand-out: Friday, June 17, 2022¹

Problem 1 Fermionic coherent states

In this problem we show some basic properties of fermionic coherent states. We will denote by c and c^* the Grassman variables corresponding to the set of fermionic operator \hat{c} and \hat{c}^{\dagger} .

(1.a) Show that the overlap of two coherent states is

$$\langle c^* | c \rangle = e^{c^* c}. \tag{1}$$

(1.b) Show the completeness relation,

$$\int dc^* dc \ |c\rangle \langle c^*| e^{-c^* c} = 1.$$
(2)

Hint: Start from the left hand side and use the explicit representation $|c\rangle = |0\rangle + |1\rangle c$.

(1.c) Show the trace formula:

tr
$$\hat{A} = \int dc^* dc \ e^{-c^*c} \langle -c^* | \hat{A} | c \rangle.$$
 (3)

Hint: Show first that: $\delta_{n,m} = \langle n|m \rangle = \int dc^* dc \ e^{-c^*c} \langle -c^*|m \rangle \langle n|c \rangle$, for n, m = 0, 1 labeling Fock states.

Problem 2 Residue integration

In this problem we use residue integration to calculate observables from the Green's function. We consider a free Fermi gas with spin S in continuum,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{\sigma} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \,\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}), \qquad \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m}, \tag{4}$$

with Fermi wavevector of length k_F .

(2.a) Show that the homogeneous density can be written as:

$$\langle \hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle \equiv \sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle = -i(2S+1)\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{x}, t=0^{-})|_{\boldsymbol{x}=0}.$$
 (5)

and the homogeneous kinetic energy density as:

$$\langle \hat{T}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle \equiv -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2_{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle = i(2S+1) \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2_{\boldsymbol{x}} \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{x},0^-)|_{\boldsymbol{x}=0}.$$
 (6)

Here $\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ denotes the two-particle Green's function.

¹If you would like to present your solution(s), feel free to send them to Felix Palm until Fri, June 24.

(2.b) Write out Fourier transforms to show that:

$$\langle \hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle = (2S+1) \int \frac{d^d \boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \left[\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} e^{i\omega\delta} \frac{1}{\omega - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}} + i\delta \operatorname{sgn}(k-k_F)} \right], \qquad \delta \to 0^+.$$
(7)

and find a similar expression for $\langle \hat{T}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle$.

(2.c) Perform residue integrals to show that in d = 3 dimensions:

$$\langle \hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle = (2S+1) \frac{V_F}{(2\pi)^3}, \qquad \langle \hat{T}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F \langle \hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle.$$
 (8)

Here V_F is the volume enclosed by the Fermi surface at the Fermi energy ε_F .

Problem 3 Spin coherent states

In this exercise, we introduce so-called spin-coherent states, which can be used to define path integrals of quantum-spin Hamiltonians. Spin coherent states are defined by rotating the fully polarized state $|S, S\rangle$ – with $\hat{S}^2|S, S\rangle = S(S+1)|S, S\rangle$ and $\hat{S}^z|S, S\rangle = S|S, S\rangle$ – by angles θ around the *y*-axis and ϕ around the *z*-axis:

$$|\mathbf{\Omega}\rangle = e^{i\hat{S}^{z}\phi} \ e^{i\hat{S}^{z}\chi} |S,S\rangle.$$
(9)

Here $\Omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$ is a unit vector, and χ is a gauge freedom adding an overall phase. Their following properties will be useful:

$$\frac{2S+1}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{\Omega} \, |\mathbf{\Omega}\rangle \langle \mathbf{\Omega}| = 1, \qquad \mathrm{tr}\hat{A} = \frac{2S+1}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{\Omega} \, \langle \mathbf{\Omega}|\hat{A}|\mathbf{\Omega}\rangle \tag{10}$$

where $d\Omega = d\theta \sin \theta d\phi$, and:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\Omega} | \boldsymbol{\Omega}' \rangle = \left(\frac{1 + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}'}{2}\right)^{S} e^{-iS\psi}, \quad \psi = 2 \arctan\left[\tan\left(\frac{\phi - \phi'}{2}\right) \frac{\cos[(\theta + \theta')/2]}{\cos[(\theta - \theta')/2]} \right] + \chi - \chi'.$$
(11)

(3.a) Construct the spin-coherent path integral, i.e. show that:

$$Z \equiv \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{T}_{\tau} e^{-\int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \ \hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau)} = \int \mathcal{D} \Omega(\tau) \ \exp\left(-\mathcal{S}[\Omega(\tau)]\right)$$
(12)

with the action:

$$\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{\Omega}(\tau)] = -iS\sum_{j}\omega[\mathbf{\Omega}_{j}] + \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \ \langle \mathbf{\Omega}(\tau)|\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau)|\mathbf{\Omega}(\tau)\rangle.$$
(13)

Here j labels different spins in a lattice, and we defined

$$\exp\left[iS\omega[\boldsymbol{\Omega}]\right] = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \langle \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\tau_n + \delta\tau) | \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\tau_n) \rangle, \qquad \tau_n = n\delta\tau, \ \delta\tau = \beta/N.$$
(14)

Which boundary conditions does $\Omega(\tau)$ in the path integral obey?

(3.b) Simplify the contribution $\omega[\Omega]$ to the action by assuming continuous differentiable trajectories and show that:

$$\omega[\mathbf{\Omega}] = -\int_0^\beta d\tau \,\left(\partial_\tau \phi\right) \cos[\theta] + \partial_\tau \chi. \tag{15}$$

By choosing the gauge convention $\chi_j(\tau)\equiv 0$, simplify the result further and show that:

$$\omega[\mathbf{\Omega}] = -\oint_{\phi_0}^{\phi_\beta} d\phi \, \cos(\theta). \tag{16}$$

Discuss how this Berry-phase contribution is geometric and does not depend on the explicit time-dependence of $\phi(\tau)$.

(3.c) Construct the effective action S for a Heisenberg interaction:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_j.$$
(17)

Hint: Show first that $\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}} | \mathbf{\Omega} \rangle = S | \mathbf{\Omega} \rangle$.

(3.d) Discuss on generic grounds and using the above path-integral formalism why $S \to \infty$ corresponds to the classical limit.