



But this has not been explored systematically until recently.

- 'No exact canonical form exists' [Orus2014, Sec. 5.2] (but this claim might be outdated...)
- Restrictions to canonical forms are possible and probably useful. [Zaletel2019], [Hagshenas2019]

## 2. Example: RVB state

Resonating valence bond (RVB) states are of continued interest for constructing spin liquids. [Anderson1987], [Rokhsar1988] (high-Tc context)

Canonical example: spin-1/2 Heisenberg model on square lattice

'Dimer' or 'valence bond':

 $= \frac{1}{f_2} \left( |\uparrow_{g}\downarrow_{e'}\rangle - |\downarrow_{e}\uparrow_{e'}\rangle \right)$ 

[sign conventions for bonds are needed and important]

 $\left[ \left( \left( \sqrt{\beta} \right) \right) \right] =$  (equal-weight superposition of all possible dimer coverings of lattice) **RVB** state: (2)

[Verstraete2004d], [Verstraete2006]

VB fluctuations lower energy due to Hamiltonian matrix elements connecting different configurations.

**RVB state has a PEPS representation** 

Defining properties of RVB state:

- each vertex has precisely one dimer attached to it, so it can be involved in one of four possible states:
- introduce four auxiliary sites per physical site,

each in one of the states

 $|\alpha\rangle \in \{|e\rangle, |1\rangle, |1\rangle \}$ empty up down





VB points left physical spin projector assigns the spin on site l to one of four possible VBs

 $:= \sum_{\sigma_{e}} \sum_{\alpha \beta} r_{s} A \stackrel{\sigma_{e}}{}_{\alpha \beta} r_{s} \left[ \sigma_{e} \right] \left[ \sigma_{e} \right] \left( \text{no arrow convention here} \right]$ (1)

1 toncori 1 zora alamante of





down

right (4)

(3)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2$$

## Advantages of PEPS description of RBV state

- Dimer basis is hard to work with, since individual components are not orthogonal: <

Therefore, explicit computations are easier in PEPS framework!

- PEPS description can be extended to larger class of states, e.g. including longer-ranged bonds [Wang2013]
- 'Parent Hamiltonian' (for which RVB state is exact ground state) can be constructed systematically, but it is complicated: 19-site interaction [Schuch2012], 12-site interaction [Zhou2014]

PEPS-I.3

Simplest known model whose ground state displays topological order. Ground state on torus is four-fold degenerate, hence it can be used to define a 'topologically protected qubit'.





Page 6



So, magnetic path operator creates two 'vortices', at  $\frac{P_1}{r}$  and  $\frac{P_2}{r}$ , each having energy  $2 \frac{T_m}{r}$ . (28)

Toric code on torus

Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be 'global loops' wrapping around surface of torus, along the spin locations (i.e. between edges, on dual lattice)



62

For given  $L_{l}$  and  $L_{\lambda}$ , define the 'global loop operators'

$$\hat{A}_{L} = \prod_{\ell \in L} \hat{e}_{\ell}^{\ell}, \quad L = L, \text{ or } L_{z}$$

Possible eigenvalues:  $a_{L_1} = \pm 1$ ,  $a_{L_2} = \pm 1$ 

Any plaquette cuts  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  either 0 or 2 times,

i.e.  $B_p$  flips an <u>even</u> number of spins along a global loop, hence  $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{B}_p, \hat{A}_L \end{bmatrix} = 0$ 

Moreover,  $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_{5} & \hat{A}_{L} \end{bmatrix} = 0$  (since both are built only from  $\hat{\sigma}^{\dagger}$ ) Hence,  $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_{1} & \hat{A}_{L} \end{bmatrix} = 0$ 

So, ground state(s) are also characterized by their  $\alpha_{L}$  -eigenvalues:

$$\hat{A}_{L_1} | g, a_{L_1}, a_{L_2} \rangle = a_{L_1} | g, a_{L_1}, a_{L_2} \rangle$$

here are 4 degenerate ground states

topological property!

 $\Rightarrow$ 

 $\hat{A}_{L_2}|g, \alpha_{L_1}, \alpha_{L_2}\rangle = \alpha_{L_2}|g, \alpha_{L_1}, \alpha_{L_2}\rangle$ 



PEPS-I.4



[two edges are bound into a spin-1, other two are 'empty']

PEPS form for RAL state: