

① Flavor parameters & CKM matrix

The Yukawa sector of the Standard Model reads

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -\Lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{E}_L^i H e_R^j - \Lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{Q}_L^i H d_R^j - \Lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{Q}_L^i \tilde{H} u_R^j + \text{H.c.}$$

where $\tilde{H} = i\sigma_2 H^*$, the Λ 's are Yukawa matrices, and i, j are family indices.

(a) Let's focus on the Yukawa interaction terms for the quarks

$$\mathcal{L}_Y^{(q)} = -\Lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{Q}_L^i H d_R^j - \Lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{Q}_L^i \tilde{H} u_R^j + \text{H.c.}$$

• Note that the Yukawa matrices $\Lambda_{ij}^{(d)}$ and $\Lambda_{ij}^{(u)}$ are general complex matrices.

• After symmetry breaking the quarks acquire masses

$$-\Lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{Q}_L^i \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} d_R^j - \Lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{Q}_L^i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} u_R^j + \text{H.c.} =$$

$$= -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\underbrace{\Lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{d}_L^i d_R^j}_{\equiv \bar{d}_L \Lambda_d d_R} + \underbrace{\Lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{u}_L^i u_R^j}_{\equiv \bar{u}_L \Lambda_u u_R} + \text{H.c.} \right]$$

$$\equiv \bar{d}_L \Lambda_d d_R \equiv \bar{u}_L \Lambda_u u_R$$

↳ Since $\Lambda_{d,u} \Lambda_{d,u}^\dagger$ is Hermitian, we can always find a diagonal matrix $M_{d,u}$ such that $\Lambda_{d,u} \Lambda_{d,u}^\dagger = U_{d,u} M_{d,u}^2 U_{d,u}^\dagger$, with the unitary matrices $U_{d,u}$.

↳ $\Lambda_{d,u}$ itself does not have to be Hermitian, however we can generically write $\Lambda_{d,u} = U_{d,u} M_{d,u} K_{d,u}^\dagger$, with another unitary matrix $K_{d,u}$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y^{(q)} \supset -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{d}_L U_d M_d K_d^\dagger d_R + \bar{u}_L U_u M_u K_u^\dagger u_R \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

→ So we can rotate $d_R \rightarrow K_d d_R$, $u_R \rightarrow K_u u_R$ and

$d_L \rightarrow U_d d_L$ ($\Rightarrow \bar{d}_L \rightarrow \bar{d}_L U_d^\dagger$), $u_L \rightarrow U_u u_L$ to obtain (in the mass basis):

$$\mathcal{L}_Y^{(q)} \supset - \left[m_i^{(d)} \bar{d}_L^i d_R^i + m_i^{(u)} \bar{u}_L^i u_R^i \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

where $m_i^{(d)} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} M_{d,ii}$, $m_i^{(u)} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} M_{u,ii}$

and where $M_{d,ii}$ and $M_{u,ii}$ are the i -th eigenvalues of M_d and M_u , respectively.

• Now, how does this change of basis affect the quark-gauge field interactions?

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow D_\mu \psi_j &= \left(\partial_\mu - ig W_\mu^\alpha \tau^\alpha - ig' \frac{Y}{2} B_\mu \right) \psi_j = \\ &= \left[\partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} g W_\mu^3 + g' Y B_\mu & g (W_\mu^1 - i W_\mu^2) \\ g (W_\mu^1 + i W_\mu^2) & -g W_\mu^3 + g' Y B_\mu \end{pmatrix} \right] \psi_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hookrightarrow i \sum_j \bar{\psi}_j \not{D} \psi_j &\supset i \bar{Q}_L \not{D} Q_L + i \bar{u}_R \not{D} u_R + i \bar{d}_R \not{D} d_R = \\ &= (\bar{u}_L^i, \bar{d}_L^i) \left[i \not{\partial} + \gamma^\mu \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g}{2} W_\mu^3 + \frac{g'}{6} B_\mu & \frac{g}{2} (W_\mu^1 - i W_\mu^2) \\ \frac{g}{2} (W_\mu^1 + i W_\mu^2) & -\frac{g}{2} W_\mu^3 + \frac{g'}{6} B_\mu \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} u_L^i \\ d_L^i \end{pmatrix} + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \bar{u}_R^i \left(i \not{\partial} + \frac{2}{3} g' \not{B} \right) u_R^i + \bar{d}_R^i \left(i \not{\partial} - \frac{1}{3} g' \not{B} \right) d_R^i$$

where we used $Q = T^3 + \frac{1}{2} Y_W \rightarrow Y(Q_L) = \frac{1}{3}, Y(u_R) = \frac{4}{3}, Y(d_R) = -\frac{2}{3}$

- Q: electric charge
- T^3 : 3rd component of weak isospin
- Y_W : weak hypercharge

↳ Note that in the original basis (flavour basis) the interaction terms don't mix generations.

↳ Furthermore, the hypercharge interactions don't mix flavours, so they are invariant under a change of basis $u_R \rightarrow K_u u_R, d_R \rightarrow K_d d_R$

↳ The same is true for the couplings to W_μ^3 and B_μ (since they come from the diagonal part).

↳ However, the interaction terms involving W_{μ}^{\pm} will lead to

$$\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{u}_L^i \gamma^{\mu} W_{\mu}^{+} d_L^i + \bar{d}_L^i \gamma^{\mu} W_{\mu}^{-} u_L^i \right]$$

going to mass basis $\rightarrow \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left[W_{\mu}^{+} \bar{u}_L^i \gamma^{\mu} \underbrace{(U_u^{\dagger} U_d)}_{\equiv V}{}_{ij} d_L^j + W_{\mu}^{-} \bar{d}_L^i \gamma^{\mu} \underbrace{(U_d^{\dagger} U_u)}_{\equiv V^{\dagger}}{}_{ij} u_L^j \right]$

↳ V_{ij} is known as the CKM-matrix

(b) V is clearly unitary, so it can be written as $V = e^{iA}$, with $A^{\dagger} = A$.

↳ Since A is $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix, it has N^2 real d.o.f.s.

↳ If V were real, it would be an $N \times N$ orthogonal matrix and hence have $\frac{N}{2}(N-1)$ real d.o.f.s (number of independent rotations of $O(N)$).

↳ So V has $\frac{N}{2}(N-1)$ angles and $N^2 - \frac{N}{2}(N-1) = \frac{N}{2}(N+1)$ phases.

↳ Note that in the Yukawa sector there are $2N$ residual global $U(1)$ symmetries, since we can transform $d_L^j \rightarrow e^{i\alpha_j} d_L^j$, $d_R^j \rightarrow e^{i\alpha_j} d_R^j$ and $u_L^j \rightarrow e^{i\beta_j} u_L^j$, $u_R^j \rightarrow e^{i\beta_j} u_R^j$, with $j \in [1, \dots, N]$ leaving the mass terms invariant.

So we can use these quark field redefinitions to remove complex phases of V .

However, if all rotations are the same, $\alpha_j = \beta_j = \theta$, then V is unchanged, hence we can only remove $2N-1$ phases in this way.

↳ So there are $\frac{N}{2}(N+1) - (2N-1) = \frac{1}{2}(N^2 + N - 4N + 2) = \frac{1}{2}(N-1)(N-2)$ independent phases.

Therefore, for N quark generations, the CKM matrix has:

- $\frac{1}{2} N(N-1)$ mixing angles
- $\frac{1}{2} (N-1)(N-2)$ complex phases

- $N=2$: 1 angle (Cabibbo angle)
- $N=3$: 3 angles, 1 phase

(c) let's look at the up-type quark mass terms

$$Z_Y^{(q)} \supset -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\underbrace{\bar{u}_L}_{=\bar{u}P_L} \Lambda_u \underbrace{u_R}_{=P_R u} + \bar{u}_R \Lambda_u^\dagger \underbrace{u_L}_{=P_L u} \right] = -\frac{v}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{u} (\Lambda_u + \Lambda_u^\dagger) u + \bar{u} (\Lambda_u - \Lambda_u^\dagger) \gamma^5 u \right]$$

where we used $P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)$

↳ How does this transform under CP?

$$u_i \xrightarrow{P} \gamma^0 u_i, \quad u_i \xrightarrow{C} C \bar{u}_i^T$$

$$\Rightarrow u_i \xrightarrow{CP} \gamma^0 C \bar{u}_i^T \rightsquigarrow \bar{u}_i \xrightarrow{CP} u_i^T C \gamma^0$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{u}_i u_j \xrightarrow{CP} \bar{u}_j u_i, \quad \bar{u}_i \gamma^5 u_j \xrightarrow{CP} -\bar{u}_j \gamma^5 u_i$$

↳ So the Yukawa term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\xrightarrow{CP} -\frac{v}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{u} (\Lambda_u + \Lambda_u^\dagger)^T u - \bar{u} (\Lambda_u - \Lambda_u^\dagger)^T \gamma^5 u \right] = \\ &= -\frac{v}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{u} (\Lambda_u^* + (\Lambda_u^\dagger)^*) u + \bar{u} (\Lambda_u^* - (\Lambda_u^\dagger)^*) \gamma^5 u \right] \end{aligned}$$

↳ Note that $\bar{u}_i u_j$ and $\bar{u}_i \gamma^5 u_j$ are invariant under T (time reversal), so if the coefficients $(\Lambda_u^*)_{ij}$ etc. are complex, the above expression is not invariant under T, since $i \xrightarrow{T} -i$. But since CPT has to be a symmetry, this would imply that CP has to be violated.

↳ So the Yukawa sector of the quarks is only CP invariant, if $\Lambda_{u,d}^* = \Lambda_{u,d}$.

Note that this is not a basis-invariant statement. However, one can show that if the CKM matrix V is real, there is no CP-violation. So the experimental evidence of CP-violation implied that V cannot be real and hence the number of quark generations is $N > 2$.

(d) • For massless neutrinos there is no Yukawa term in the lepton sector like $-\Lambda_{ij}^{(l)} \bar{E}_L^i \tilde{H} \nu_R^j + \text{H.c.}$ (analogous to the up-like quark in the quark sector).

Reminder:
Discrete Symmetries of the Dirac Theory:
Peskin, Schroeder -
3.6 (p. 64)

So in order to diagonalize $\Lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{E}_L^i H e_R^j$, we just need two unitary matrices K_e and U_e to go to the mass basis via $e_R \rightarrow K_e e_R$, $e_L \rightarrow U_e e_L$.

The lepton-gauge field interactions have the form $i \bar{E}_L \not{D} E_L + i \bar{e}_R \not{D} e_R = (\bar{\nu}_L^i, \bar{e}_L^i) \left[i \not{\partial} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu \begin{pmatrix} g W_\mu^3 - g' B_\mu & g W_\mu^+ \\ g W_\mu^- & -g W_\mu^3 - g' B_\mu \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^i \\ e_L^i \end{pmatrix} + \bar{e}_R^i (i \not{\partial} - g' \not{B}) e_R$

↳ Now let's look at the flavour mixing part

$$\frac{g}{2} \left[\bar{\nu}_L^i \not{W}^+ e_L^i + \bar{e}_L^i \not{W}^- \nu_L^i \right]$$

↳ Going to the mass-basis by $e_L \rightarrow U_e e_L$ can now be undone by the rotation $\nu_L \rightarrow U_e \nu_L$, which can be done with impunity, because there is no corresponding mass term in the Yukawa sector.

↳ Hence for massless neutrinos, the lepton sector can be diagonal in the mass terms and the interaction terms at the same time!

② Higgs boson decay to fermions

The Yukawa interaction term is $\mathcal{L}_Y = \frac{g}{2} \frac{m_f}{M_W} h \bar{f} f = y h \bar{f} f$, where we introduced $y = \frac{g}{2} \frac{m_f}{M_W}$, to keep the expression short.

The (tree-level) amplitude reads reminder:
QED last semester, PS 11.

$$\mathcal{M} = y \bar{u}_{s_1}(\vec{p}_1) v_{s_2}(\vec{p}_2)$$

with $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \vec{p}_1, s_1 \\ \vec{p}_2, s_2 \end{matrix} \right\}$ the momenta & spins of the outgoing $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{fermion} \\ \text{anti-fermion} \end{matrix} \right\}$.

Then,

$$\sum_{\text{spins}} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = y^2 \sum_{s_1, s_2} \bar{u}_{s_1}(\vec{p}_1) v_{s_2}(\vec{p}_2) \bar{v}_{s_2}(\vec{p}_2) u_{s_1}(\vec{p}_1) = y^2 \text{tr} \left[(\not{p}_1 + m_f)(\not{p}_2 - m_f) \right] = y^2 \text{tr} \left[\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 - m_f^2 \right] = 4 y^2 (p_1 \cdot p_2 - m_f^2)$$

$\text{tr}[\gamma^\mu] = 0$

$\text{tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = 4 \eta^{\mu\nu}$

In the center-of-mass frame:

$$k^\mu = (m_h, \vec{0}), \quad p_1^\mu = \left(\frac{m_h}{2}, \vec{p}\right), \quad p_2^\mu = \left(\frac{m_h}{2}, -\vec{p}\right);$$

with 4-momentum conservation: $m_h = 2E_f, \quad E_f^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m_f^2.$

$$s = m_h^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + 2p_1 \cdot p_2 = 2m_f^2 + 2p_1 \cdot p_2 \Rightarrow p_1 \cdot p_2 = \frac{m_h^2}{2} - m_f^2$$

Then,

$$\sum_{\text{spins}} |M|^2 = 4y^2 \left(\frac{m_h^2}{2} - 2m_f^2\right) = 2y^2 m_h^2 \left(1 - 4\frac{m_f^2}{m_h^2}\right)$$

identical calculation to last semester QED, PS 11, Q. 1A2.

The total decay rate is then given by

$$\Gamma = N_c \cdot \frac{1}{2E_k} \sum_{\text{spins}} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}_1}} \frac{d^3\vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{p}_2}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - k) |M|^2 \downarrow$$

$$= N_c \frac{y^2 m_h}{8\pi} \left(1 - 4\frac{m_f^2}{m_h^2}\right)^{3/2} = N_c m_h \frac{g^2 m_f^2}{32\pi M_W^2} \left(1 - 4\frac{m_f^2}{m_h^2}\right)^{3/2} \uparrow$$

$$= N_c \frac{G_F m_f^2 m_h}{4\sqrt{2}\pi} \left(1 - 4\frac{m_f^2}{m_h^2}\right)^{3/2}$$

$$G_F = \frac{g^2}{4\sqrt{2} M_W^2}$$