NRG IV: Dynamical correlators

Goal: computing spectral functions via Lehmann representation using complete basis.

1. Completeness of Anders-Schiller basis

 $\{ | \alpha, e \}_{0}^{D} | \ell = \ell_{0, \dots, N} \}$ The combination of all sets of discarded states constructed in (NRG-III.5),

forms a complete basis in full Hilbert space of length-N chain, known as 'Anders-Schiller (AS) basis': (proof follows below) exact basis ..

$$\sum_{\vec{v}_N} |\vec{e}_N| < \vec{e}_N | = 1_{\mathcal{A}_N \mathcal{A}_N} = \sum_{\substack{l=l_0 \\ l = l_0}} \sum_{\substack{n = l_0 \\ l =$$

These basis states are approximate eigenstates of Hamiltonian of length-N chain:

$$\hat{H}^{N}[\alpha,e]_{\ell} \simeq \hat{H}^{\ell}[\alpha,e]_{\ell} = E_{\alpha}^{\ell}[\alpha,e]_{\ell}$$
 (2)

[Anders2005], [Anders2006]

Here we made the 'NRG approximation': when acting on states from shell ℓ , approximate μ^{N} by β^{ℓ} , i.e. neglect later-site parts of the Hamiltonian. Justification: they describe fine structure not relevant for capturing course structure of shell ℓ . The AS basis thus has following key properties:

- For small ℓ , energy resolution is bad, degeneracy high.
- As λ increases, energy resolution becomes finer, degeneracy decreases.

Projectors:
Projector onto
sector X of shell
$$\ell$$
:
 $\hat{P}_{\chi}^{\chi} = \sum_{k,e} | x_{e} \rangle_{\ell}^{\chi} \langle x_{e} | = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k} | x_{1} | x_{1} | x_{1}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} | x_{k} | x_{k}$

General projector products:

$$P_{\ell}^{X'} P_{\ell}^{X} \stackrel{((\mathcal{U}_{\ell}, \mathcal{I}_{\ell})}{=} \begin{cases} \delta^{K} \mathcal{K} & P_{\ell}^{X} & \text{if } \mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{K} \\ \delta^{X} \mathcal{K}' & P_{\ell}^{X} & \text{if } \mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} \\ P_{\ell'}^{X'} & \delta^{K} \mathcal{K} & \text{if } \mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{L} \end{cases}$$
(14)



Graphical depiction of completeness of AS basis



Transform to basis which diagonalizes sites i_{P} to l_{o} , retaining full (F) spectrum at each step):

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{0} \\ imp \\ imp \\ imp \\ k_{0}}} \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \\ imp \\ k_{0}}} \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \\ k_{0}}} \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \\ k_{0}}}$$

Split into discarded and kept states. In latter sector, move one site from environment into system:





Iterate until the entire chain is diagonal, and declare all states of last iteration as 'discarded':



The collection of all terms marked \leftarrow is the resolution of identity in AS basis:

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n$$



2. Operator expansions

[Weichselbaum2007], [Peters2006]

NRG-IV.2

🔶 non-trivial only on sites 🦳 י, ..., 🕫 🔈

Below we will show that the Hamiltonian and 'local' operators have following structure in AS basis:



Hamiltonian is diagonal:

General operator: exclude KK to avoid overcounting!

$$\hat{H}^{U,2} \approx \sum_{k} \sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}^{k} \log \sum_{k}^{D} \sum_{\alpha,e}^{D} \exp \left(\frac{\hat{B}_{\alpha}^{*}}{k} \right) = \sum_{k} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\alpha}$$

Operators are diagonal in 'environment' states! Hence environment can easily be traced out!

The expression for $\hat{\mu}^{N}$ follows from (IV.1.2). That for a local $\hat{\beta}$ operator can be found as follows: Suppose $\hat{\beta}$ is a 'local operator', living on sites $\leq \ell_{o}$ (e.g. on sites imp and $_{o}$):

Start from the local operator's exactly known representation on length- l_{\circ} chain,

Define operator projections to X'X sector of shell : $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell]X}^{X'} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\ell}^{X'} \hat{\mathcal{B}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\ell}^{X}$

$$\hat{B}_{[\ell] \chi}^{\chi'} =$$

with matrix elements





(7)

can be computed iteratively during forward sweep, starting from $l = l_{b}$

$$= \underset{A^{\prime}}{\overset{\mathsf{g}}{\mathsf{f}}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \chi' \\ [e_1] & \kappa \end{pmatrix}^{\kappa'} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{\dagger} & \chi' \\ [e_1] & \kappa \end{pmatrix}^{\kappa'} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa \\ B_{\lfloor \ell^{-1} \rfloor \kappa} \end{pmatrix}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}} \begin{pmatrix} A & \kappa \\ [e_1] & \kappa \end{pmatrix}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa \\ e_{l} \end{pmatrix}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa \\ e_{l} \end{pmatrix}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa \\ e_{l}$$

Refine KK sector iteratively, using $P_{\ell}^{(k)} \approx \sum_{x} P_{\ell+1}^{(k)}$

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\{\ell_{o}\}K}^{K} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\ell_{o}}^{K} \hat{\mathcal{B}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\ell_{o}}^{K} = \sum_{x'x}^{\neq k'} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{lot1}^{x'} \hat{\mathcal{B}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{lot1}^{X} + \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{lot1}^{K} \hat{\mathcal{B}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{lot1}^{k}$$
(9)

Iterate to end of chain: = $\sum_{l>l_0}^{N} \sum_{x'x}^{\neq k'k} \hat{P}_{l}^{x'} \hat{B} \hat{P}_{l}^{x} = \sum_{l>l_0}^{N} \sum_{x'x}^{\neq k'k} \hat{B}_{l}^{x'}$ (0)

Full operator:
$$\hat{B} = \sum_{x} \hat{B}_{[\ell_{n}]x}^{x} = \sum_{l \ge l_{n}}^{N} \sum_{x'x}^{\neq kk} \hat{B}_{[\ell_{n}]x}^{x'} = \sum_{l \ge l_{n}}^{\neq kk} \sum_{x'x}^{\neq kk} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x'x}^{x'} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x'x'}^{x'} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x'}^{x'} \sum_{x'}^{x'} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x'}^{x'} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x'}^{x'} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x'}^{x'} \sum_{x'}^{x'} \sum_{x'}^{x'} \sum_{x'}^{x'$$

Note: matrix elements are always 'shell-diagonal' (computed using same-length chains).

Time-dependent operators

$$\hat{\mathbb{B}}(t) = e^{i\hat{H}^{N}t}\hat{\mathbb{B}}e^{-i\hat{H}^{N}t} = \sum_{\ell}\sum_{x'x}^{\neq kk}\hat{\mathbb{B}}_{\ell\ell]x}^{x'}(t) \qquad (12)$$

with time-dependent matrix elements, evaluated using NRG approximation (1.2):

Important: since we iteratively refined only KK sector, the time-dependent factor is 'shell-diagonal': factors with $e^{i(\mathbf{E}_{\alpha}^{\ell'} - \mathbf{E}_{\alpha}^{\ell'})t}$, $\ell' \neq \ell$ do not occur. Using different shells to compute $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{A}'}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{A}}$ would yield them with different accuracies, which would be inconsistent.

 $\hat{B}(\omega) = \int dd$ Fourier transform:

$$\frac{lt}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} \hat{B}(t) = \sum_{k} \sum_{x'x}^{\neq kk} \hat{B}_{[\ell]_{x}}^{(l)}(\omega) \quad (ls)$$

(8)

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{[\ell]} & \chi' \\ \chi & \omega \end{bmatrix}^{\alpha'}_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{[\ell]} & \chi \end{bmatrix}^{\alpha'}_{\alpha} \qquad S(\omega - (E_{\alpha}^{\ell} - E_{\alpha}^{\ell})) \qquad (16)$$

Operator product expansions:

 $\frac{2}{3}\hat{c}$ Proceed iteratively, refining only KK-KK sector:

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\left[\ell\right]k}^{K} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\left[\ell\right]k}^{K} = \hat{P}_{\ell}^{K} \hat{\mathcal{B}} \hat{P}_{\ell}^{K} \hat{\mathcal{C}} \hat{P}_{\ell}^{K} \stackrel{(I.14)}{=} \sum_{x x' x''} \hat{\mathfrak{P}}_{x''}^{X} \hat{\mathcal{B}} \hat{P}_{\ell+1}^{X'} \hat{\mathcal{C}} \hat{P}_{\ell+1}^{X''} = \sum_{x' x x''} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\left[\ell+1\right]x'}^{X} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\left[\ell+1\right]x''}^{X''} \quad (\uparrow)$$

Start from $l = l_0$ and iterate:

Start from
$$l = l_{o}$$
 and iterate:

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}} \ \hat{\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{x \times x' \times "} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times '} \ \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{b}] \times "} x'' = \sum_{\ell \ge a} \sum_{x \times x' \times "} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times '} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{b}] \times "} x'' = \sum_{\ell \ge a} \sum_{x \times x' \times "} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} x'' = \sum_{\ell \ge a} \sum_{x \times x' \times "} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} x'' = \sum_{\ell \ge a} \sum_{x \times x' \times "} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} x'' = \sum_{\ell \ge a} \sum_{x \times x' \times "} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} x'' = \sum_{\ell \ge a} \sum_{x \times x' \times "} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} x'' = \sum_{\ell \ge a} \sum_{x \times x' \times "} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{o}] \times "} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{[\ell_{o}$$

3. Full density matrix

[Weichselbaum2007]

NRG-IV.3



provides refinement for rest of chain

density matrix is sector-diagonal

<u>Reduced density matrix</u> for length- ℓ chain is obtained by tracing out environment of all later sites:



Starting at l = N, the KK matrix elements can be computed iteratively via a backward sweep.



Note: traces of shell-diagonal <u>operator</u> products simplify to traces of <u>matrix</u> products, with full density matrix replaced by reduced density matrix.

NRG-IV.4

[Weichselbaum2007]

AS basis, being complete set of (approximate) energy eigenststate, is suitable for use in Lehmann representation of spectral function, with the identification $\{|\alpha\rangle\} = \{|\phi\rangle\}$, $|\langle z\rangle\}$, $|\langle z\rangle\}$

$$\mathcal{A}_{(\omega)}^{\mathsf{BC}} = \int \frac{dt}{u_{l}} e^{i\omega t} \quad \mathsf{T}_{r} \left[\hat{\rho} \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}(t) \quad \hat{c} \right] = \mathsf{T}_{r} \left[\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\omega) \quad \hat{c} \quad \hat{\rho} \right] \tag{1}$$

$$\operatorname{trace is cyclic}$$

Insert representation of these three operators in complete AS basis:

$$T_{\sigma}\left[\sum_{\substack{\ell \in \tilde{\ell} \\ \ell \in \tilde{\ell}}} \left| \hat{\alpha}_{i}^{\prime} \hat{e} \right\rangle_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \left| B_{[\tilde{\ell}]} \left| \omega \right\rangle_{\tilde{\chi}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \right|_{\tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e}^{\dagger} \left| \bar{\alpha}_{i}^{\prime} \bar{e} \right\rangle_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \left[C_{[\tilde{\ell}]}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi} \right]_{\tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\tilde{\chi}'}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\tilde{\chi}'}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\tilde{\chi}'}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \left[C_{[\tilde{\ell}]}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi} \right]_{\tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}'}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}'}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}'}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}'}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}'} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}}^{\tilde{\chi}}^{\tilde{\chi}}}^{\tilde{\chi}} \bar{\chi}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\tilde{\chi$$

Looks intimidating, but can be simplified by systematically using (NRG-III.5.12) for overlaps.

Simpler approach (leading to same result) uses operator expansion (2.18):

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{C}}}(\omega) = \operatorname{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\left[\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\omega)\left(\hat{c}_{\hat{\rho}}\right)\right] = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \geq \ell_{\alpha}}^{\mathcal{I}} \sum_{\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x}''}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \operatorname{T}_{\mathbf{r}}\left[\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\left(\boldsymbol{\ell}\right)}(\omega) \right]_{\mathbf{x}'}^{\mathbf{x}} \left(\hat{c}_{\hat{\rho}}\right)_{\left(\boldsymbol{\ell}\right)}^{\mathbf{x}'} \left(\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}\right)_{\mathbf{x}''}^{\mathbf{x}''}\right]$$
(3)
trace is cyclic $\int_{\mathbf{x}'}^{\mathbf{x}} |\mathbf{x}''|$

Perform trace in same way as for thermal expectation value, (3.10): trace over sites $\ell' > \ell$ yields reduced density matrix, trace over sites $\ell' \leq \ell$ yields matrix trace over shell ℓ :

Each term involves a trace over <u>matrix</u> products involving only a single shell. Easy to evaluate numerically.

To deal with delta functions, use 'binning': partition frequency axis into discrete bins, and replace $\delta(\omega - \varepsilon)$ by bin function:

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{}}(\underline{\omega} - \underline{E}) = \begin{cases} I & \text{if } \underline{E} \in \underline{I}_{\underline{\omega}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Thus assigns energy $\underline{\textit{Q}}$ to all peaks lying in the same bin.

Finally, broaden using log-Gaussian broadening kernel, (NRG-III.3.4).

Spectral function of Anderson impurity model

```
(at particle-hole symmetry, \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L}} = -\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{L}}
and zero magnetic field,
                                           h=0
                                                               )
```

 $A(\omega) = A^{d_s d_s}(-\omega) + A^{d_s d_s}$ (ω)

Can be computed using fdm-NRG. Technical issues:

- Include Z-factors to take care of fermionic signs.
- Broaden final result using log-Gaussian broadening kernel (NRG-III.3.4).

 $\Gamma/\mu << 1$ (e.g. = 0.1) and $T << T_{\kappa}$ (e.g. = 0), one obtains Result: for



NRG reproduces this with an error of

With increasing temperature, Kondo resonance broadens and weakens as \top approaches and passes τ_k .



Due to use of complete basis, fdmNRG fulfills this sum rules to machine precision, with error 4 10